

Tables and Figures

Table 1. F- statistics from anova analyses of the effect of dominant plant functional group on the number of each species caught in pitfall traps. See figure 3 for graphs of the mean counts. Asterisks indicate the significance level (**< 0.001, **< 0.01, * < 0.05, < 0.10, otherwise = n.s.). Taxonomy is based on Lindroth 1969.

species	F - stat and significance code
<i>Agonum muelleri</i> Hbst.	9.7***
<i>Amara littoralis</i> Mnh.	11.7***
<i>Amara californica</i> Dej.	2.1.
<i>Anisodactylus binotatus</i> F., <i>An. californicus</i> Dej. group	9.4***
<i>Anisodactylus sanctaecrucis</i> F.	1.4
<i>Harpalus affinis</i> Schrk.	4.2**
<i>Harpalus pensylvanicus</i> DeG.	10.7***
<i>Agonum subserecium</i> Lec.	1.3
<i>Nebria brevicaulis</i> F.	10.1***
<i>Omus audouini</i> Reiche	29.3***
<i>Pterostichus algidus</i> Lec.	22.6***
<i>Pterostichus melanarius</i> Ill.	57***
<i>Scaphinotus marginatus</i> Fisch.	29.1***
All carabids (and <i>Omus</i>)	70.6***