


Feedback from FSC Assessor Training – SARE Workshop #3 Leavenworth, WA – December 2-3, 2011







How could this workshop be improved:

-Talk with members who have gone through the process, talk with end users and manufacturers promoting the brand.

-Relate to Eastern WA dry forest conditions, fuels requirements placed on landowners by DNR is max 9 tons/acre.

-How does FSC compare to ATF?

-Eastside focus - FSC needs to learn more about the differences from west side

-Target to joining NNRG as opposed to training for Assessors

-Love the Q&A and overall discussion w/ the group. It really helped also to have the FMP and field visit to have examples of those concepts mentioned in the presentations. Could improve by having more time in the field.

-Pretty good, great set-up. I liked the tie-in with the actual assessment.

-Clarity about purpose

-A day longer, more review of the permits, mgmt plan, etc

-More detailed market information

-For me, the only difficulty was how to make east side procedures significant to the west side – perhaps a west side seminar would be a better focus for me.

What subjects would you like to see covered in future workshops sponsored by NNRG:

-Current markets

-Evidence where landowners are receiving more \$ for their wood vs. non-certified

-Small landowner marketing processes

-More info/work on potential markets to boost incentives for landowners

-Identifying HCVF

- -Tour of certified COC manufacturing/processing facility
- -Log market opportunities, benefits for members
- -Regional significance
- -More about NNRG group activities

-How can we use FSC as a leverage point to move wood and restore forest health