Winter Grain Pea Variety Trials

Project Goals:

- Assess feasibility and profitability of winter pulse production in NY State
- Identify adapted varieties and best agronomic practices

	Research Station	On-Farm			
Site	Freeville, NY	Penn Yan, NY			
Plot Size	4.5' x 15'	30'x 300' (~0.20 ac)			
Entries	9 (Year1), 10 (Year 2)	7 (Year 1), 8 (Year 2)			
Replicates	4	1			
Biculture Crop	Triticale	Triticale (Y1), Winter Oat (Y2)			
Seeding Rates	175,000 PLS*/ ac (Pea) + 480,000 PLS*/ac (Triticale)				

Key Results:

- In Year 1 a normal-to dry year shorter varieties with more stems like Blaze, Vail, and Goldenwood ranked higher for grain yield in both replicated and on-farm trials.
- In the Year 2 replicated trial in a wet, disease-prone field top yielding entries were local and Keystonelcicle, two vining, indeterminate cultivars that had mid-range to low yields in Year 1.
- **Two-year analysis identified two varieties Kurtwood and Goldenwood that provided above-average yield** in two very different growing seasons at both research and on-farm plots. Blaze and Vail performed well in drier conditions, while Keystonelcicle excelled in a wet field with high disease pressure.
- While vining, indeterminate pea cultivars performed well in higher-moisture conditions, harvest was only feasible with equipment capable of picking up large, lodged plants.

NE SARE Partnership Grant: Exploring winter lentil and winter pea production in the Northeastern United States **Cornell University**: Kristen Loria (kal52@cornell.edu), Solveig Hanson (sh2458@cornell.edu), Virginia Moore (vm377@cornell.edu) **Farmer collaborator**: Peter Martens · **Pea and triticale varieties:** ProGene







Winter Grain Pea Variety Trait Means

Replicated Trial: Year 1

	Leaf Type †	Fall Vigor 1 = low 9 = high ***	Spring Vigor 1 = low 9 = high ***	Mean Plant Height (cm) ***	Stem Count **	Pea Grain Yield (Ib/ac) ***	Percent Crude Protein (%) **
Blaze	S	5.5	6.5	75.8	20.8	2199	26.1
Vail	S	7.5	5	77.7	16	1774	26.4
Goldenwood	S	7.25	7	68.2	18.8	1770	26.2
Kurtwood	S	7.25	7	92.6	12.2	1698	23.2
KeystoneIcicle	N/S	7.25	9	108.1	13.2	1479	24.7
Keystone	S	3.75	6	99.9	11	1267	25.4
Icicle	N	4	5.5	108.9	11.5	1058	23.9
Windham	S	3.5	1.5	59.1	15	743	25.8
FP6101	N	6	5.5	97.2	5	444	22.4

On-Farm Trial: Year 1

	Plant Height (cm)	Field-dry Biomass (Ib/ac)	Cleaned Pea Yield (lb / ac)	
Blaze	63	1886	91	
Goldenwood	63.5	1686	73	
Vail	70.25	2095	68	
Kurtwood	66.5	1773	59	
Keystone	71	1914	59	
Icicle	83	1459	27	
FP6101	91.25	1759	18	

Replicated Trial: Year 2

	Leaf Type †	Fall Vigor 1 = low 9 = high ***	Spring Vigor 1 = low 9 = high ***	Mean Plant Height (cm) ***	Disease Severity 1 = low 9 = high **	Percent Standing 100 = no lodging 0 = all lodged ***	Pea Grain Yield (lbs/ac) **	Percent Crude Protein (%) ***
Keystonelcicle	N/S	5.5	7.5	152.9	4.75	25	2197	26.5
Icicle	Ν	1.5	6.75	165.3	3.25	25	1449	26.7
Windham	S	4.5	3.75	96.2	5.25	100	1257	27.9
Kurtwood	S	6.5	6	120.6	4.75	95	1254	24.9
Goldenwood	S	2	3.75	105.4	3.75	97.5	1184	26.4
FP6101	Ν	3	7.75	166.1	3	35	1141	28.4
Vail	S	5	6	102.6	6.75	95	920	28.4
Keystone	S	7.5	5	120.6	6.75	90	871	26.4
Payback	S	3.5	5.25	105.7	6	100	841	24.9
Blaze	S	2	3.5	102.1	5.75	100	615	26

On-Farm Trial: Year 2

	Plant Height (cm)	Percent Standing	Cleaned Pea Yield (lb / ac)	
Blaze	80.8	63.3	2027	
Vail	82	73.3	1993	
Keystone	96	30	1814	
Kurtwood	88	86.7	1732	
Goldenwood	78.3	76.7	1713	
Payback	57.5	90	1626	
Windham	78.2	56.7	1616	
Icicle	95.3	40	1093	

On-farm plant height and percent standing calculated as the mean of three subsamples per plot.

***, **, and * indicate mixed model ANOVA tests significant at p<0.001, p < 0.01, and p < 0.05, respectively.

† Leaf types: N = Normal leaf; S = Semileafless

Y1 and Y2 pea grain yield represent hand harvest of quadrat samples and whole-plot mechanical harvest, respectively. Tables sorted by total pea grain yield. Grain yields presented at 14% moisture.