

Table 2. Probit regression results for the land rental decision, shrub-dominant scenario

Independent variables	Outcome equation, dependent variable: <i>enroll</i>					
	(1) Probit without selection, all price versions		(2) Probit with selection, all price versions		(3) Probit with selection, excl. high price version	
	Coef	Marg eff	Coef	Marg eff	Coef	Marg eff
<i>Price</i>	-0.064** (0.026)	-0.010** (0.004)	-0.0623** (0.0278)	-0.0146 (0.0187)	-0.0111 (0.0460)	-0.0041 (0.0167)
<i>Herdsize</i>	0.014 (0.011)	0.002 (0.002)	0.0184 (0.0166)	0.0043 (0.0095)	0.0132 (0.0169)	0.0048 (0.0090)
<i>Age</i>	-0.012 (0.016)	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.0162 (0.0195)	-0.0038 (0.0090)	-0.0213 (0.0189)	-0.0077 (0.0106)
<i>Mig</i>	0.431 (0.393)	0.064 (0.059)	0.3046 (0.6167)	0.0713 (0.0888)	0.1370 (0.7312)	0.0498 (0.2371)
<i>Attitude</i>	0.158 (0.100)	0.023 (0.015)	0.1523 (0.1034)	0.0356 (0.0479)	0.2289* (0.1375)	0.0833 (0.0560)
<i>Renthist</i>	0.685 (0.441)	0.102 (0.067)	0.3764 (1.2104)	0.0881 (0.1700)	0.3747 (1.5223)	0.1362 (0.4600)
<i>Pasture</i>	-2.082** (0.818)	-0.310* (0.120)	-1.974** (0.9990)	-0.4618 (0.5441)	-1.9446 (1.2127)	-0.7071* (0.3815)
<i>Diversity</i>	0.142 (0.217)	0.021 (0.033)	0.1434 (0.2115)	0.0335 (0.0665)	0.2033 (0.2277)	0.0739 (0.0898)
<i>Intercept</i>	-2.039 (1.828)		-1.242 (3.381)			-2.648 (4.466)
Selection equation, dependent variable: <i>respond</i>						
		Coef		Coef		
<i>Age</i>		0.0220*** (0.0060)		0.0163** (0.0067)		
<i>Mig</i>		0.5486*** (0.1458)		0.5902*** (0.1698)		
<i>Herdsize</i>		-0.0032*** (0.0007)		-0.0025*** (0.0007)		
<i>Renthist</i>		1.3655*** (0.1591)		1.3951*** (0.1809)		
<i>Pasture</i>		-0.2117 (0.1682)		-0.2554 (0.1936)		
<i>Intercept</i>		-2.373*** (0.3745)		-2.4296*** (0.4186)		
<i>Altrho</i>		-0.3041 (1.067)		-0.3166 (1.269)		
N, Outcome eq.	106		106		65	
N, Selection eq.			618		577	
Wald(k), Pr(Wald(k)), k	20.42, 0.009, 8		17.39, 0.026, 8		9.93, 0.270, 8	
Log likelihood	-33.70		-245.41		-179.09	

Note: Data are from authors' mail survey. Standard errors in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate that the values are significant at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively. Marginal effects evaluated at the sample means.