SAMPLE SECOND INTERVIEW GUIDE

passcode 1

Meeting ID: 844 9527 9392

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/84495279392?pwd=V0lmWFRRL0R6Tm56QTdRUHNoMWI3QT09

Meeting ID: 844 9527 9392
Passcode: 1

Interview guide for John Janiszyn 1.11.22 Interview

1. We last talked in August, just before harvest. Can you recall anything you noticed with regard to the overall performance of you're the treated versus untreated crop with regard to yield, disease pressure, anything else?

difference between the high concentration and lower concentration in terms of robustness, yield?

2. Thinking back to the project as a whole, what worked well? What, if anything, was problematic?

Details – odors, difficulty with equipment, etc

Specific issues with application equipment?

4. Did you have a chance to look at the tissue sample results? Any thoughts about this?
My comments on tissue sampling:

There are some interesting results, from my reading. The N and P seem pretty much the same in all the samples (except N lower in the control), but there is a fairly sharp difference in the potassium. It is much lower in both the control and the conventional. (Arthur, can you confirm, the "control" here is not the treeline control, but the other?). K is lowest in the conventional. Also, sulfur is somewhat lower in the control and conventional as compared to all the urine treatments. In addition, iron, manganese and zinc are all higher in the urine treatments as compared to both control and conventional (and raised the zinc from low to normal in one case, and manganese from borderline low to normal). The zinc was highest in urine treatment rows 5 - 8. This suggests to me that urine treatment may be valuable with regard to these secondary nutrients, and important with regard to supplying sufficient potassium. In all cases the boron was low, so urine didn't seem to help much there.
Keep in mind that in the narrative analysis on the document, where the author refers to the "pasteurized urea product" that is the urine treatment.
Please let me know what you think and if you have other or different interpretations.

3. What would you do differently if you did this again? What would you have to change to make this work for you as a regular component of your fertilization plan?

4. Since we last talked, have you talked to people about the project? How did those conversations go?

5.How could you see this working on your farm in the future? Different system? Different crops? Do you have suggestions for other research we should do? Are there questions would you need addressed in order to try again?

6. Do you have any photos? Other documentation?

7. Invoice – please send in for your time!

8. Final meeting with all participants… Feb – Tues or Thursday afternoon or all day Wed – what day might be best?