





Perceived Exertion (RPE), Comfort, and Control Assessment

As you become more familiar with your body's reactions during exercise, you'll learn to recognize when it's time to change how hard you work. For instance, consider a walker aiming for moderate-intensity exercise. Their target would be to hit a "somewhat hard" level, corresponding to 12-14 on the Borg Scale. Should they find their effort registering as "very light" (a 9 on the Borg Scale), this is an indicator to ramp up your pace. Conversely, if the walker experiences his or her effort as "extremely hard" (ranking at 19 on the Borg Scale), it's a sign to reduce the intensity, slowing down to stay within the moderate-intensity zone.

Rating of Perceived Exertion

- 6 No exertion, sitting and resting
- 7 Very, very light
- 8 Very, very light
- 9 Very light
- 10 Very light
- 11 Fairly light
- 12 Somewhat hard
- 13 Somewhat hard
- 14 Somewhat hard
- 15 Hard
- 16 Very hard
- 17 Very hard
- 18 Very, very hard
- 19 Extremely hard
- 20 Maximum exertion (Borg, 1998)

1. Scoop Shovel

Worksheet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Shovel without Auxiliary Attachment Use

Participant Information:

Participant ID:

Shovel Types (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N....):

Task Description: Scoop up wood pellets and transfer them to a wheelbarrow.

Comfort Evaluation for Scoop Shovel:

1. On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the shovel (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).

(Rating Shovel 1) 2 6	Shovel 2_	4	Shovel 3	3).
favor	ite				





2	Please describe.
	Shovel 1 Now
	Shovel 2 back to arms angle of scoop made me lift more
	Shovel 3 back + arms a little
	Shovers _ Duck & Willis a William
3	. Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design,
	curvature)
	Shovel 1 the longer handle made it more confortable Shovel 2 Short handle to angle of 15 coop for table
	Shovel 2 Short handle made of t vincomfortable
	Shovel 3 short handle made it uncomfortable
4	. Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
	Shovel I angle of scoop, more of an angle on scoop
	Shovel 2 longer handle & less harsh of an angle on scoop
	Shovel 3 Longer handle
Worl Use	ksheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel without Auxiliary Attachment
Cont	rol Evaluation:
1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
(1	Rating Shovel 1Shovel 2Shovel 3).
2	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Shovel 1 easy to control
	Shovel 2 harder to control Than 1
	Shovel 3 easy to control
3	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Shovel 1
	Shovel 2 length of handle
	Shovel 3 andle of scoop + length of handle





Shovel I_						
Shovel 2_	longer	handle			11	
Shovel 3_	Conger	handle				
	V					
Cardiovascular Ef	fort Evaluation	n without Auxiliary Attachn	nent:			
5. Estimate you	r heart rate durii	ng the task and compare it to	your target hear	t rate zone.		
Using the Bo	rg RPE scale (6-	-20), rate your perceived exer	rtion.			
(Rating Ratin	ng Shovel 1 17	Shovel 2 150	Shovel 3	1410).	





Comfort Evaluation for Scoop Shovel with auxiliary attachment:

1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the shovel (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).
	(Rating Shovel 1 Shovel 2 Shovel 3 4). Factoribe any specific discomfort points while using shovels (e.g. hands wrists arms or body).
2	Please describe.
	Shovel 1 my left arm got tired from lifting w/ the handle Shovel 2 slight discomfort in left arm Shovel 3 discomfort in left arm & abdominal muscles
3	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design,
	curvature)
	Shovel 1 w/ handle it was hard to dump to it felt like it
	Shovel 2 extra handle alleviated back & some of arm paintstre
	Shovel 3 handle type to angle of scoop
4	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
	Shovel 1 Change in handle
	Shovel 2 none
	Shovel 3 change in handle
Works	heet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel with auxiliary attachment Use
Contro	l Evaluation:
5	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not
	in control at all).
5	(Rating Shovel 1 Shovel 2 Shovel 3 Shovel 3).
6	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Shovel 1 hard to control when dumping

	Shovel 2 Control
	Shovel 3 hard to control when scooping
7	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Shovel 1 the handle made it hard to dump
	Shovel 2 none
	Shovel 3 none
8	Recommend enhancements for better control.
	Shovel 1 Change in auxillary handle
	Shovel 2 no change
	Shovel 3 Change in angre of 8coop
dio	vascular Effort Evaluation with auxiliary attachment:

Car

3. Estimate your neart rate u	uring the ta	sk and comp	are it to ye	our target near	t rate zone.	J
Using the Borg RPE scale	(6-20), rate	e your percei	ved exerti	on.		
(Rating Rating Shovel 1	130	Shovel 2	120	Shovel 3	130)





2. Pitchfork

	heet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Pitchfork without Auxiliary ment Use
Pitchfo	rk Type (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M):
Task D	escription: Removing straw mixed with dung and transferring them to a wheelbarrow.
Comfo	rt Evaluation for Pitchfork:
	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the pitchfork (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).
(Ra	eting Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3).
2	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe. Pitchfork 1
	Pitchfork 2 arms + abdominal muscles
	Pitchfork 3 None
3	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design, curvature)
	Pitchfork 1 Corrative & length of handle allegiated discon
	Pitchfork 2 angle & type of Scoop caused discomfort Pitchfork 3 handle & angle alleriated discomfort
4	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
	Pitchfork 1 none
	Pitchfork 2 angle of fork, width of prongs - too small to
	Pitchfork 3 None Scoop hay would b





Worksheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Pitchfork without Auxiliary Attachment Use

Control Evaluation:

1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
(R	ating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 3 Pitchfork 3 1.
2	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Pitchfork 1 lasy to control
	Pitchfork 2 harder to control be of anothe
	Pitchfork 2 harder to control be of angle Pitchfork 3 Easy to control
3	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Pitchfork 1 worl
	Pitchfork 2 angre of scoop
	Pitchfork 3 hone
4	Recommend enhancements for better control.
	Pitchfork 1
	Pitchfork 2 angre of swop, make it more angled
	Pitchfork 3 none
Cardi	ovascular Effort Evaluation without Auxiliary Attachment:
5.	Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
	Using the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion for pitchforks.
	(Rating Pitchfork 1 90 Pitchfork 2 120 Pitchfork 3 60).





Worksheet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Pitchfork with auxiliary attachment Use

Comfort Evaluation for Pitchfork with auxiliary attachment:

1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the pitchfork (1 being extremely
	comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being
	extremely uncomfortable).
1.	(Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 3 Pitchfork 3 2).
3	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body)
	Please describe.
	Pitchfork 1 my left arm became tired
	Pitchfork 2 arms
	Pitchfork 3 my left arm
4	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design,
	curvature)
	Pitchfork 1 the handle made my left own feel like it was
	Pitchfork 2 the handle helped
	Pitchfork 3 having two handles helped, one for my mo
	left put stress on my arm
5	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
	Pitchfork 1 no extra handle
	Pitchfork 2 angle of fork
	Pitchfork 3 @ change in extra handle





Worksheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Pitchfork with auxiliary attachment Use

Control Evaluation:

1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
2	(Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 3 Pitchfork 3).
3	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load. Pitchfork 1 havd to dump load Pitchfork 2 a little havd to dump, but handle helped score Pitchfork 3 easy to control
4	Pitchfork 2 handle when dumping Pitchfork 3 more
5	Pitchfork 2 design/grip of handle Pitchfork 3 More
Cardio	ovascular Effort Evaluation with Auxiliary Attachment:
6.	Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
Ţ	Using the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion for pitchforks.
	(Rating Pitchfork 1 130 Pitchfork 2 420 Pitchfork 3 100).
	110