## Benefits of Organic or Natural Farming as experienced by Tuba Farms

- 1. \*\*Environmental Sustainability\*\*:
- \*\*Soil Health\*\*: Organic farming enhances our soil fertility and structure through raised beds, crop rotation, cover crops, and composting, reducing erosion and nutrient depletion.
- \*\*Biodiversity\*\*: Promotes biodiversity by creating habitats for beneficial insects, birds, and other wildlife such as introducing 550 native plants to our pollinators patch and adding an additional 3000 ladybugs, green winged lacewings, and Carolina mantids.
  - \*\*Reduced Pollution\*\*: Minimizes water pollution from synthetic fertilizers and pesticides.

## 2. \*\*Health Benefits\*\*:

- \*\*Chemical-Free Produce\*\*: Our products are grown without synthetic pesticides and fertilizers, reducing the risk of exposure to harmful chemicals.
- \*\*Nutrient Content\*\*: Some studies suggest that organic foods may have higher nutrient levels, though this is still a topic of debate. We will do studies using the Brix test.
- 3. \*\*Animal Welfare\*\*: We provide better living conditions for livestock, including access to the outdoors and organic feed, leading to improved animal welfare.
- 4. \*\*Sustainability\*\*: we carry out sustainable practices that can be maintained long-term without depleting natural resources.
- 5. \*\*Market Demand\*\*: our browsing consumer demand for organic /natural products leads to premium pricing and potentially higher donations for our farm.
- B. Challenges of Organic Farming as experienced at Tuba Farm
- 1. \*\*Lower Yields\*\*: We may have lower yields compared to conventional farms due to the absence of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, leading to potential economic challenges and more crop losses. We expect a loss of 20% due to natural disasters. This isn't a total loss beverage we use the discarded produce to make fertilizer and compost which saves us money.
- 2. \*\*Labor-Intensive\*\*: We input more manual labor for tasks such as weeding and pest control, which can increase labor costs but we rely on volunteers to help and this cuts down on that expense.
- 3. \*\*Certification Costs\*\*: Obtaining and maintaining organic certification can be expensive and time-consuming, particularly for small-scale farmers; which is why Tuba Farm has not yet received certification. Instead we market our produce as naturally grown without synthetic pesticides, herbicides or fertilizers." Our customers accepts this and trust this. We also encourage our customers to visit the farm and learn about our growing methods.
- 4. \*\*Pest and Disease Management\*\*: We may face greater challenges in managing pests and diseases without synthetic chemicals, potentially leading to crop losses but again we utilize this waste to make fertilizers and compost or feed livestock.
- 5. \*\*Market Access and Competition\*\*: Competition with conventional farms and the availability of organic produce can be limited in some markets, making it harder to sell products. Luckily for us we market all produce for Free setting ourselves apart and drawing more customers than expected. This model encourages philanthropy and we have seen more donations above the market price of produce than less. We often have less vending fees as a non profit and we receive more money from grants and donations than a traditional for profit farm. This business model keeps us operating in the green.

6. \*\*Transition Period\*\*: Converting a conventional farm to organic can take several years, during which time the farm may not be able to market its products as organic, leading to financial strain but this has not been our experience at Tuba Farm.

Despite these challenges, Tuba Farm and many farmers choose organic farming for its long-term environmental benefits, potential health advantages, and alignment with sustainable agriculture practices.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, through the Northeast Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education program under sub-award number FNE24-092. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.