

2017 FAMU Soil Health and Quality Workshop Evaluations Report

Introduction

In total, 6 participants completed the evaluation instrument. The instrument asked participants to evaluate how good or poor the presentations were on the following aspects:

- Learning environment
- Training outcomes, and
- Individual presentations

Due to one participant not answering most questions in the evaluation, their answers have been omitted to avoid skewing the data in the report.

Learning Environment:

Participants evaluated the quality of the learning environment at the workshop based on the five criteria below. Participants selected scores from 1 to 5 (1 = Very Poor, 5 = Very Good). The average score for each criteria is included in parentheses.

1. Time spent on hands-on activities. (4.2)
2. Opportunities for interaction with other participants. (3.4)
3. Opportunities for asking questions or comments. (4.6)
4. Activities to get me involved. (3.8)
5. Answers to questions. (5)

Participants gave an overall rating for the workshop, which was 4.8, indicating a very positive evaluation.

Training Outcomes:

Participants indicated their willingness to use the information learned in the workshop in their work and also their commitment to using the information learned in their work. Participants selected scores from 1 to 5 (1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree). The average score for willingness to use was 4.6 and the average score for commitment to use was 4.8, indicating the usefulness and relevance of the workshop.

Participants also indicated the degree that the workshop increased their competency level in the subject matter. The overall rating was 3.57, where 3 = some and 4 = a lot, indicating the workshop increased competency in the subject matter presented.

Individual Presentations:

There were three individual presentations at the 2017 FAMU Soil Health and Quality Workshop. Participants selected scores from 0 to 5 (0 = N/A, 1 = Very Poor, 5 = Very Good). The average score for all presentations was 4.67, indicating that the participants had positive opinions of the presentations as a whole. The average scores for the individual presentations ranged from 4 to 5, indicating that each presentation was effective, relevant, and engaging.

- Craig Frey = 5
- Herman Holley = 5
- Sundiata Ameh-El = 4