

RESEARCH

Integrating Cover Crops into Vineyards

By Rachid Hanna, Frank G. Zalom and Clyde L. Elmore
 UC Sustainable Ag Research and Education Project

Introduction

Cover crops are being studied because they may have substantial effects on several components of agroecosystems. In various locations cover crops have been used to enhance the natural control of arthropod pests, to suppress weeds, and as an alternative to synthetic nitrogen fertilizer. These uses of cover crops are usually examined independently, but there is reason to consider the interactions among them as well. In fact, cover crops can impact agroecosystems through a number of pathways, both direct and indirect (Figure 1).

If, for example, cover crops affect the nutritional quality (and water status) of crop plants, then we should expect these effects to also impact the performance of herbivores feeding on the crop plant, since plant nutrient and water status can significantly affect population dynamics of herbivores (Slansky and Rodriguez, 1987; Dale, 1988). Moreover, these indirect effects may increase incrementally through time, as the cover crop system undergoes a transition phase. A long-term, comprehensive assessment of the role of cover crops in crop production is necessary if we are to understand and successfully utilize them for arthropod, weed and crop-nutrition management.

The research reported in this article assessed the value of cover crops in vineyard pest and nutrition management. Four experiments helped us determine the effect of various vineyard floor management systems on: 1) two species of leafhoppers, the variegated grape leafhopper (*Erythroneura*


variabilis Beamer), and the western grape leafhopper (*Erythroneura elegantula* Osborn), which are major pests of grapevines in the San Joaquin Valley (Flaherty et al., 1992); 2) weed suppression and the need for soil-applied herbicides; 3) vine-nutrient status and the need for synthetic nitrogen fertilizer; and 4) vine growth, and grape yield and quality. We also developed a partial budget for each system.

Design and Cultural Methods

Fowler and Earlimart sites. Two experiments were initiated in 1992 comparing three vineyard floor management systems: 1) a 'conventional' clean-cultivated system with chemical weed suppression in row berms, 2) a fall-planted, oat-vetch cover crop mix which was mowed and placed on row berms for weed suppression without the use of soil-applied herbicides, and 3) a fall-planted, oat-vetch cover that was mowed and placed in row middles, with complete chemical weed control in row berms. These systems were compared at two locations: a 60-acre Thompson seedless vineyard located about eight miles west of Fowler, Calif. and a 33-acre Thompson seedless vineyard located about ten miles east of Earlimart, Calif.

In both cover crop systems, the cover was mowed twice and then allowed to reseed before soil incorporation, which typically occurred in early July at the Fowler site, and October at the Earlimart site. All systems were clean-cultivated for the remainder of the season at the Fowler site (a raisin grape vineyard), while a ground cover was maintained during the summer by periodic mowing of res-

Why is Supertube® Clipper worth 95¢?



Big early yields


Supertube Clipper is 3.5" in diameter. That's no accident. Take a look at the graph with data from a CSU-Fresno test. Tubes 2-3" in diameter increase height growth, but not stem volume. Only tubes 3.5" wide or more increase volume growth. Which vines will have the biggest, earliest yields?

Actual cost: 16-32¢ per vine

Supertube Clipper is the most durable, most re-usable tube on the market. You can get 3, 5, or even 6 uses out of each tube.

Save 1 minute every time you pull a weed or trim a leader

With other tubes it takes more than a minute to get at the vine. Clipper's side opening design makes trimming and weeding a breeze. You can use the time you save to do more important things than fiddle with tubes.



To find out more (and there's a lot that didn't fit here!), call toll-free for the dealer nearest you . . .

(800) 248-8239

Ask for Joe Lais

© 1995 Treessentials Company, St Paul, MN

ident vegetation in all plots at the Earlimart site (a table grape vineyard).

Insecticide and miticide use differed between the Fowler and Earlimart sites. At the Fowler site, a low rate of Omite (two pounds per acre) was applied on June 14, 1993 to control an outbreak of Pacific spider mite (*Tetranychus pacificus* McGregor). No other miticides or insecticides were applied for leafhopper and mite control at any other time during the experiment. At the Earlimart site, Pyrenone was applied in late May 1992 and 1993, and Lannate was applied in mid-August 1993, despite low numbers of leafhoppers. In 1994, the vineyard operator withheld insecticide applications for leafhopper control. Miticides were not used at any time during the experiments at the Earlimart site, where spider mites generally occurred in low densities.

Soil amendments also differed between the two sites. All plots at the Earlimart site received a yearly application of four tons per acre of steer manure in the fall, and two tons per acre of gypsum in June. At the Fowler site, all plots received 75 pounds of nitrogen per acre in June 1992, and four tons per acre of steer manure in October 1992. During the 1993 season, we added fertilized and unfertilized subplots to each treatment. Fertilized subplots received 75 pounds nitrogen per acre in June, and unfertilized plots did not receive any nitrogen fertilizer. The same design was maintained during the 1994 season, but nitrogen fertilizer was not added as vine nitrogen levels were adequate in all treatments.

Kearney and Madera sites. In 1993 we initiated two additional experiments using a Merced rye and vetch cover crop mix: one in a two-acre Thompson seedless vineyard located at the University of California Kearney Agricultural Center (Parlier, Calif.), and the other in a 53-acre commercial Thompson seedless vineyard located near Madera, Calif. The Kearney experiment compared a clean-cultivated system with a system that used the cover crop as dry mulch for partial weed suppression on row berms. The Madera experiment compared a clean-cultivated system with two cover crop systems: one in which the cover was mowed and incorporated as green manure in early

ALL IN A DAY'S WORK



The Kubota Grand L Series and M Series tractors are efficient farm workers. They have a strong, reliable Kubota diesel engine that's a real miser on fuel. And money you don't spend on fill-ups ends up in your pocket after the harvest.

Our highly efficient Grand L Series, from 25-37 PTO HP, are available in 2WD and 4WD and offer a choice of Kubota's Glide Shift Transmission or Kubota's fully synchronized shuttle transmission. Versatile and easy to maneuver, these compact tractors can be equipped with optional creep speed for planting, spraying and harvesting; independent and mid-PTO; 3-point hitch and a wide selection of Kubota performance-matched implements.

If you're a grower, our innovative Kubota M30 Series with 43-76 PTO HP offers a selection of compact tractors - utility/agricultural, low profile or mudder or narrow. The narrow tractor's bevel gear front wheel drive with Bi-Speed Turn gives you greater pulling power and outstanding maneuverability to make tight turns in narrow or confined spaces. Dependable and fuel efficient, the M30 Series offers a choice of manual shuttle or standard transmission.

The Grand L and M30 Series could be just the tractor you need to raise profits on your farm.

Kubota Tractor Corporation markets a full line of tractors through a nationwide network of over 1,000 dealers.



DELANO
DELANO EQUIPMENT
710 South High Street

FRESNO
PIONEER EQUIPMENT
2545 S. Sarah

MADERA
MADERA TRACTOR & IMPLEMENT
24476 Avenue 14

REEDLEY
PIONEER EQUIPMENT COMPANY
953 G. Street

RIO VISTA
DOLK TRACTOR CO.
242 North Front Street

STOCKTON
CEN-TRAC EQUIPMENT, INC.
3355 N. Ad Art Road

TULARE
LINDER EQUIPMENT COMPANY
311 E. Kern Ave.



The effect of berm mulching with cover crop biomass on weed suppression was assessed at the Earlimart, Fowler and Kearney vineyards. Prior to each mowing and in the middle of summer we determined weed species frequency, percent cover and total biomass on berms, and percent of berm area covered with mulch residues. Weed suppression was evaluated at each time by identifying and counting plant species using four 100-foot transects, and counting plants at each foot.

We used leaf petioles to determine vine nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium status at two stages of vine growth - full bloom and beginning of berry ripening (veraison). At harvest, we estimated berry size and sugar content (all vineyards), pH and titratable acidity (Earlimart vineyard) and raisin yield and quality (Fowler, Kearney and Madera vineyards).

Results and Discussion

Leafhoppers. Our findings to date indicate that, if properly managed, winter legume/grass cover crops can reduce leafhopper abundance. Where leafhopper numbers were not very low and cover crops were properly maintained through early July, reduced infestations of leafhoppers were observed in the presence of cover crops. These reductions may be attributed in part to enhanced activity of certain groups of spiders, which are consistently found at higher densities in the presence of cover crops compared to the clean-cultivated systems. Trace-element marking of cover crops indicated that cover crops may also influence leafhopper populations by serving as non-host vegetation which interferes with their movement patterns and perhaps other aspects of their life history (Hanna et al., unpublished data).

Although cover crops may affect vine physiology (through changes in soil fertility, soil-water status, etc.), which may in turn affect leafhopper biology, the changes we have observed in vine conditions to date were not sufficient to explain the differences in leafhopper abundance observed between the cover crop and clean-cultivated treatments. Cage data indicated that leafhopper reproduction and

It's not how small we make them... It's how we make them small.



Our orchard/vineyard or grove customers have been telling us what they'd like to see in a low-profile tractor. And we've been listening.

We've lowered the overall height to clear low hanging branches. We've also given them a narrow profile so they can squeeze between close plantings. While 50° hydrostatic steering on all 4WD models lets you make tight turns with excellent maneuverability.


They give you all the benefits of advanced Ferguson hydraulics. And Perkins diesel engines have a well-deserved reputation for being dependable, economical to operate and long-lasting.

Extra attention was also given

to the operator's area to give you well-positioned controls, a comfortable seat and easy-to-understand instruments and monitors.

See your Massey-Ferguson dealer to find out for yourself how we've also made it easy to put one to work in your orchard/vineyard or grove.

	M.F. 253	M.F. 360	M.F. 375	M.F. 390	M.F. 390T	M.F. 398	M.F. 399
Mix. rd. PTO hp	45	50	60	70	80	80	90
Drive	2	2 or 4	2 or 4	2 or 4	2 or 4	2 or 4	2 or 4
Height-inches	56	62	64.5	64.5	64.5	69.5	69.5
Min. width-inches	70	73.7	77.7	77.7	77.7	78.8	78.8



MASSEY-FERGUSON

Massey Ferguson® is a wholly owned subsidiary of AGCO Corporation, Duluth, GA.

DELANO

DELANO EQUIPMENT
710 High Street
(805) 725-7171

SHAFTER

NIKKEL EQUIPMENT
COMPANY, INC.
184 Central Ave.
(805) 746-4365

STOCKTON

MID-CAL TRACTOR
1120 W. Charter Way
(209) 944-5714



MASSEY-FERGUSON

survivorship in the absence of predation were not affected by cover crop treatment.

Vine Nutrition. The effect of cover crops on vine-nutrient status varied between years and vineyards. Cover crops produced positive effects on vine nutrient status by the second or third year if the cover crops were well-managed, but produced negative effects if the cover or vineyard was poorly managed. The positive effects were usually delayed, and were best illustrated by the results from our Fowler site where by the third year, nitrogen levels (nitrate-N in petioles) in unfertilized cover cropped vines were similar to nitrogen levels in fertilized clean-cultivated vines, independent of the type of weed management in row berms.

Potassium levels were also enhanced by cover crops by the third year at the Fowler site. We have not observed these effects in the Earlimart vineyard where the cover crop was not incorporated until fall, while weeds were allowed to grow in row middles during the summer. Under this system of ground cover management, much of the nutrient content of the cover is apparently either lost by volatilization, or used to grow the resident vegetation during the summer.

At the Kearney and Madera sites (second year), although we have not detected statistically significant changes in vine-nutrient status in the cover crop treatments compared to clean-cultivated treatments, we have observed non-significant trends toward higher levels of nitrogen and potassium in vines associated with cover crops compared to clean-cultivated vines. Based on results from the Fowler site, we expect to see higher levels of nitrogen and potassium in cover crop treatments at the Kearney and Madera sites during the third and later years, since the impact of cover crops on vine nutrient status is often delayed and may not be statistically significant during the transition phase.

Weeds. The amount of dry biomass produced by cover crops for weed suppression varied between vineyards. During late winter and early spring, the mulched berms received 1,800 to 8,726 pounds of dry biomass per acre, with a total nitrogen content of 33 to 109 pounds per acre. Results to date from

the San Joaquin Valley sites and from a north coast study indicate that with sufficient levels of biomass production, berm mulching should reduce the use of preemergence herbicides. The mulch, however, will not control all weeds equally. Perennial weeds such as field bindweed were not controlled.

Yield. The effects of cover crops on grape yield and operating

costs depended on grape culture and represented a trade-off in water, fertilizer, pesticide and resource use. Although significant differences in

yields have not been realized in the Earlimart (third year) and Madera (second year) vineyards, berry weight and raisin yields were significantly higher by the second year at the Kearney site where cover crop biomass was used as dry mulch for weed suppression in row berms. Berry weight was also significantly greater by the third year in cover crop compared to clean-cultivated treatments at the Fowler site.

Greater berry weights should have

Continued on Page 43

GROW TUBES

The diagram illustrates three methods of using Grow Tubes:

- WRAP AROUND:** A hand is shown wrapping a tube around a plant stem.
- SLIDE TUBE:** A hand is shown sliding a tube over a plant stem.
- STANDARD:** A hand is shown holding a tube over a plant stem.

WRAP AROUND SLIDE TUBE STANDARD

We offer a wide range of Grow Tubes and we're happy to detail the merits and short comings of each. To order Grow Tubes or for more information, call us today.



QUIEDAN COMPANY

15400 Meridian Rd. 36766 Quail Mountain Way
Salinas, CA 93907 Coarsegold, CA 93614
(408) 663-0770 (209) 683-0826
(408) 663-0777 FAX (209) 683-0827 FAX

Integrating Cover Crops...

Continued from Page 31

translated into greater raisin weights, but this effect could not be measured as the raisins at the Fowler site were badly damaged by early fall rain. The partial cost budget indicated that the use of cover crops (despite greater water demand) may significantly reduce operating costs if savings were realized by reducing chemical inputs for insects and mites. These savings are expected to increase if cultural methods (e.g., raised beds with adjacent furrows for irrigation are used instead of flood irrigation) are modified to maintain satisfactory cover crop growth while reducing water use.

Conclusions

Despite these encouraging results, some critical questions remain to be addressed in order to assess the long term impact of cover crops on several elements of grape production. At present we do not know what impact these

cover crop systems will ultimately have on several aspects of soil fertility and water use in vineyards. For example, we do not know if the increased yields at the Kearney and Fowler sites were due to the greater amount of water used to grow the cover crop. In this case, water usage should be controlled as an experimental variable so we can understand why higher yields were obtained in the presence of cover crops.

Studies of more than three-year duration are needed to adequately determine the complex relationships between cover crops, arthropod pests and weeds, and to evaluate their impact on soil fertility, vine nutrition and vineyard water use. Our hypothesis is that benefits of cover crops to grapevines will increase incrementally through time, and can be measured. We are continuing our research in the Fowler, Kearney and Madera sites, and have initiated a similar study in Napa. We are expanding our multidisciplinary expertise to include a soil scientist (Dr. R. Miller) and a grape physiologist (Dr. L. Williams).

Funding for this research came from the USDA Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program, the American Vineyard Foundation, the California Raisin Advisory Board and the California Table Grape Commission.

For more information write to Rachid Hanna, Department of Entomology, University of California, Davis, Calif. 95616. □

(Excerpt in whole from Sustainable Agriculture Technical Reviews, 1995.)

References

Dale, D. 1988. Plant-mediated effects of soil mineral stresses on insects. In: Heinrichs, E.A. (editor), *Plant Stress-Insect Interactions*. Wiley, New York, pp. 35-110.

Flaherty, D.L., P.T. Christensen, T. Lanini, J. Marois and L.T. Wilson. 1992. *Grape pest management*. University of California Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources.

Slansky, Frank Jr. and J.G. Rodriguez (Editors). 1987. *Nutritional Ecology of Insects, Mites, Spiders and Related Invertebrates*. Wiley, New York.

HOPPERFINDER



New Technology For Grape Pest Management

- Non Toxic
- Cost Effective
- Fits IPM & Organic Pest Management Systems
- Environmentally Safe

Attract and masstrap overwintering leafhoppers and sharpshooters before mating and egg laying. HOPPERFINDER's a 6" x 1500' yellow, UV resistant plastic film coated on both sides with a non-drying adhesive.

Entosphere, Inc.

4623 N. Blythe • Fresno, CA 93722
PH: (209) 271-0694
FAX: (209) 271-0695

For the Prevention and Control of
POWDERY MILDEW

— ENVIRO —
MICRO-SULF
MICRONIZED WETTABLE SULFUR

SAME
GOOD STUFF...
YEAR AFTER YEAR

- 28 years of proven performance!
- Nature's only non-resistant fungicide.
- Suppresses early thrip and mite activity.
- Active against diseases other than mildew.
- Compatible with most pesticides & gibberellic acid.

Registered for use in California and Arizona

CONTACT US
FOR THE DEALER
NEAREST YOU.



CAL-AG ENTERPRISES, INC.

P.O. Box 666 • 149 W. Tulare St. • Dinuba, CA 93618
Tel 209.591.3672 • Fax 209.591.8857