Table 7. Effect of cover crop and termination method on average weed control pooled over three weed evaluation timings, Fargo, ND, 2010.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Cover crop | Termination method | Weed control | |
|  |  | ---% control--- | |
| Triticale | Disk-till |  | 72 az |
| Triticale | Roller-crimp |  | 72 a |
| Rye | Roller-crimp |  | 71 ab |
| Rye | Disk-till |  | 69 b |
| Rye/canola | Disk-till |  | 66 c |
| Triticale | Herbicide |  | 63 d |
| Rye | Herbicide |  | 62 de |
| Rye/canola | Roller-crimp |  | 60 ef |
| Turnip/radish | Disk-till |  | 59 f |
| Rye/canola | Herbicide |  | 56 g |
| Turnip/radish | Herbicide |  | 54 gh |
| No cover crop | Disk-till |  | 53 h |
| No cover crop | Herbicide |  | 46 i |
| Turnip/radish | Roller-crimp |  | 35 j |
| No cover crop | No termination |  | 24 k |

z Means followed by the same letter within each column are not significantly different according to Fischer’s Protected LSD (P≤0.05).