

Figure 1. Mean percentage (\pm SE) of coffee berries infested by coffee berry borer when treated with Surround[®] WP, Mycotrol[®] O, Surround[®] WP + Mycotrol[®] O, or untreated. Means with different letters within each farm are significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$. Data collected in 2011.




Figure 2. Mean percentage (\pm SE) of coffee berries infested by coffee berry borer when treated with Surround[®] WP, Mycotrol[®] O, Surround[®] WP + Mycotrol[®] O, or untreated. Means with different letters within each farm are significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$. Data collected in 2012.




Table 1. Treatments, experimental design, elevation, fertilizing regime and spray method for each farm

Farm	Treatment	Experimental Design	Elevation (meters)	Fertilizing regime	Spray Method
1	Control Surround [®] WP	CRD	300	Conventional	Backpack
2	Control Surround [®] WP	CRBD	260	Conventional	Backpack
3	Control Surround [®] WP	CRBD	425	Conventional	Backpack
4	Control Mycotrol [®] O Surround [®] WP Surround [®] WP + Mycotrol [®] O	CRBD	600	Organic	Tractor mounted Venturi Air

Table 2. Mean coffee cherry yield from trees treated with Surround[®] WP and untreated controls for 2011 and 2012 trials.^a

Farm	Treatment	Yield (Kg), 2011	Yield (Kg), 2012
1	Control	5.4 A	10.6 A
	Surround WP	4.3 A	13.9 A
2	Control	30.7 A	35.6 B
	Surround WP	46.1 A	41.2 A
3	Control	NA	NA
	Surround WP	NA	NA
4	Control	12.4 AB	13.4 A
	Mycotrol O	9.2 B	16.7 A
	Surround WP	22.2 A	24.8 A
	Surround WP + Mycotrol O	18.6 AB	23.3 A

^aDifferent letters within a column and farm are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$.