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OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

• To develop substrate formulations that utilize readily available waste stream products for growing edible mushrooms.

• To compare the yields of waste stream substrates to that of the “industry standard” commercial substrate formulation.

• To compost spent mushroom bags for use as a nutrient rich fertilizer, thus closing the loop.
WHY IS THIS STUDY VALUABLE?

• Thousands of pounds of waste stream products are discarded into landfills every day in every city in the world.
• Many of these products may be suitable as substrates for growing edible and medicinal mushrooms.
• This study will determine the feasibility of using these substrates in commercial mushroom production.
Meet *Pleurotis ostreatus*

The tree oyster!
The Industry Standard Formulation
(20% enriched)

- 40 lbs of compressed hardwood fuel pellets (the lignin source)
- 8 lbs of Bran (the Nitrogen source)
- 1.5 lbs of Gypsum (provides sulfur, which fungi require for growth)
- 7 gallons of water (hydrate to carrying capacity)
Advantages of Using This Formulation

• Convenient, tried and true!
• Pellets are semi-pasteurized by extrusion process.
• High Yield.
• Easy to get hydration just right (almost) every time.
• Ingredients readily available most of the year.
Disadvantages of Using This Formulation

• You must buy the ingredients.
• You must pay shipping costs if delivered.
• Certain ingredients may be unavailable in certain geographic locations or at certain times of the year.
• Depending on sourcing, certain ingredients may not be environmentally friendly.
WS Partner Number One: Bee Tree Sawmill

• Bee Tree Provided the Carbon and Lignin Source In The Form Of Mixed Sawdust. The Sawdust Came From Numerous Species.

• How is this different from hardwood fuel pellets?
Waste Substrate Partner Number Two: Spent Coffee Grounds from Clingman Cafe

Spent coffee grounds are high in nitrogen and replace The bran in the mix. How is it different from the bran?
WS Partner Number Three: Soy husks and Dust from Smiling Hara Tempeh Co.

• The soy waste product is high in nitrogen and replaces the bran in the mix.

• How is it different from the bran?
WS Partner Number Four: Cacao Shells From French Broad Chocolate Factory.

• Cacao Shells are high in nitrogen and are a waste product of the chocolate making process. They replace the bran in the mix.

• How are Cacao Shells different from bran?
• Fines and beards are a waste product of the malting of grain. They replace the bran as an enriching agent and nitrogen source.

• How is it different from bran
• The sawdust from Bee Tree was used in all WS recipes as a lignin source.
• Recipe one uses spent coffee grounds in place of bran.
• Recipe two uses soy dust and husks in place of bran.
• Recipe three uses cacao shells in place of bran.
• Recipe four uses fines and beards in place of bran.
• All recipes are enriched to approximately twenty percent by weight.
Hydrating and Mixing the Substrate
Bagging, Sterilizing, Inoculating and Sealing.
Experimental Controls

• Harvest period - 90 days for all substrate formulations.
• Hydrated bag weight - 5 pounds for all substrate formulations.
• Sterilization - active steam for 8 hours for all substrate formulations.
• Spawn Rate - 250 ml spawn per bag for all substrate formulations.
The Spawn Run on Spent Coffee Grounds
The Spawn Run On Cacao Shells
The Spawn Run on Soy Dust
Fruiting on Coffee Grounds
Fruiting on Soy Dust
Fruiting on Cacao Shells
Fines and Beards: 100% Contamination
Results: Standard Mix (Control)

- Harvest Period: May 30th to Aug 30th (90 Days)
- Average weight harvested per bag: 2.15 pounds
- Biological Efficiency (wet weight): 43%
- Biological Efficiency (dry weight): 107%
Results - Spent Coffee Grounds

- Harvest Period: May 31\textsuperscript{st} to August 31\textsuperscript{st} (90 Days)
- Average weight harvested per bag: .81 pounds
- Biological Efficiency (wet weight): 16.2%
- Biological Efficiency (dry weight, estimated): 40.5%
### Results - Soy Dust and Husk

- **Harvest Period**: August 7\(^{th}\) to November 7\(^{th}\) (90 Days)
- **Average weight harvested per bag**: 0.89 pounds
- **Biological Efficiency (wet weight)**: 17.8%
- **Biological Efficiency (dry weight, estimated)**: 44.5%
Results - Cacao Shells

• Harvest Period - August 30 to November 30 (90 Days)
• Average weight harvested per bag - 1.32 pounds
• Biological Efficiency (wet weight) - 26.4 %
• Biological Efficiency (dry weight, estimated) - 66 %
Graphical Data Interpretation

Biological Efficiency of Substrates

Control | Coffee grounds | Soy Dust | Cacao Shells

B.E. (wet) | B.E. (dry)
Production Difficulties Associated With WS

• Getting the Moisture Correct: Unlike the commercial formulation, waste substrates vary greatly in moisture content, meaning you have to spend more time mixing to get the moisture to carrying capacity.

• Little control over sawdust species and initial moisture content

• Contamination: Wood pellets are semi-pasturized on arrival because of the extrusion process. Not so with waste substrates. Overall, WS seemed more prone to contamination issues.

• Spawn run and fruiting time: In general, the spawn run and fruiting took longer for WS than for the commercial formulation.
Experimental Design Flaws

• Control of the fruiting environment (time of year).
• Control of the fruiting environment (location in grow room).
• Difficulty in calculating WS dry weight.
Cost Analysis

Although only about a third as productive on average, waste substrates have one major advantage....

**THEY ARE FREE!**

- Aside from the cost associated with picking them up.
- Important that they are sourced in close proximity to the grower.
- Typically available year round
- Great for growers on a tight budget
COST ANALYSIS (ACTUAL NUMBERS)

• Cost to run 50 bags of commercial formulation: $110.00

• Cost to run 50 bags of WS: $30-$45
Composting of Spent Bags is Easy!
### Soil Test Analysis

**Client:**
Joe Allawos  
32 Allen St  
Asheville NC 28806

**Grower:**
Joe Allawos

**Farm ID:** Pointsetta

**Report No.:** 14-349-0831  
**Cust No.:** 13899

**Date Printed:** 12/16/2014  
**Date Received:** 12/15/2014

**Sample ID:** MC02

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>SOIL TEST RATINGS</th>
<th>Calculated Cation Exchange Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil pH</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>16.1 meq/100g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer pH</td>
<td>BPH</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (P)</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>130 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (K)</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>333 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (Ca)</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>160 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (Mg)</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>165 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur (S)</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>736 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron (B)</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>0.5 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (Cu)</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>1.5 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (Fe)</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>53 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (Mn)</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>23 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (Zn)</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>4.2 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (Na)</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>29 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble Salts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Matter</td>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>ENR 1388</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate Nitrogen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THANKS TO OUR COLLABORATORS

French Broad Chocolates
handmade in Asheville, NC

Smiling Hara Tempeh®
a living food.

Clingman Café
River Arts District • Asheville

Bee Tree Hardwoods