

# **Strategies to Transition to Organic Grain:** Impacts on Soil Health and Crop Productivity **Biwek Gairhe<sup>1</sup>**, Ray Weil<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Environmental Science & Technology, The University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

### Introduction

**Organic transition?** USDA National Organic Program (NOP) requires three years of organic management (no synthetic chemicals) prior to organic certification.

> Compared to conventional farming, organic may be more profitable and ecological due to 2-3 times (Langemeier and O'Donnell, 2020) higher prices and less toxic (natural) amendments.

**Challenges?** Farmers must manage soil fertility, weeds, diseases, and pests without synthetic products (Delate and Cambardella, 2004) and learn new techniques (often requiring new equipment) while receiving only conventional

#### **Typical organic grain farmers**

#### "Conventional" Maryland grain farmers

Tillage for weed control, making seedbeds No-till (74% of cropland)

Animal manures, legumes for soil fertility Strict nutrient management plans, Cover crops including legumes (41% of the cropland)

Switching to traditional organic farming methods could increase soil disturbance, likely followed by greater erosion, and runoff losses.

### Four organic transition strategies





Treatment 4: Perennial alfalfagrass hay, untilled. Organic corn in 2023.

**Research need:** Identify organic transition strategies that can match or exceed the soil health benefits of the notill with cover crops grain production system it would be replacing, while also providing acceptable productivity, economics and practicality for transitioning farmers.

#### **Research objectives:**

To investigate the effects of four organic transition strategies on: 1) Soil health 2) Crop productivity

#### **Research hypotheses:**

Strategies with less soil disturbance, and higher cover crop biomass will result in: 1) Increased soil health metrics 2) Higher grain crop productivity

### Methodology

Organic transition initiated in May 2020 and completed in May 2023  $\succ$  RCBD with 4 replications of each treatment at each study location.

Farm name	Location	Soil description	Individual plot size	Treatments
CMREC (UMD	PG county,	Moderately well drained	30 ft x 100 ft	1,2,3,4
research station)	MD	sandy loam		
LESREC (UMD	Wicomoco	Moderate-well drained	15 ft x 250 ft	1,2,3,4
research station)	county, MD	silty loam	30 ft x 250 ft	
Commercial farm – A	Frederick	Poorly-well drained silt	60 ft x 150 ft	1,2,3
	county, MD	loam		
Commercial farm - B	Wicomoco	Moderately-poorly	30 ft v 300 ft	1 7 3









Figure 6. Hand harvest corn yield in kilograms/ha at Farm A. Treatments labeled with the same letter do not differ significantly at *p*<0.05. Hand harvest yields did not differ significantly.



county, MD drained sandy loam Fig 1: Experimental plot design at CMREC Beltsville, UMD research farm

### Sample/data collection and analyses

### Soil sampling:

Top 30 cm soil sampling in fall 2020 (baseline), fall 2022 (during) transition) using 3.1 cm diameter soil probes. ➢Top 30 cm soil sampling during V4-V5 stage of corn for Presidedress nitrate test (PSNT) analysis (June-July 2023).

### Soil health metrics:

- Soil respiration by incubating soil samples for 24 and 72 hours Soil wet stability (Slaking test)
- Soil nitrate analysis
- Permanganate oxidizable carbon (POXC)

### **Crop productivity measurements:** Stand establishment (Counts) at V5 stage and maturity (Fall 2023) $\succ$ Crop yield by hand harvest (October 2023) > Weed biomass at V5 stage and maturity (Fall 2023)

**Statistical analyses:** Rstudio v1.1.463 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)





Fig 2: Soil profile from top 30 cm divided into three separate depths for analyses.





Figure 5. Hand harvest corn yield in kilograms/ha at CMREC.

Treatment 4 had significantly higher yield than other treatments.

Treatments labeled with the same letter do not differ significantly at

Figure 7. Radar plot showing the impacts of the four treatments on different soil health parameters. All the parameters were standardized at the same scale (0 to 1). Higher values indicate greater(positive) impact. Parameters with asterisk (\*) sign denote significant difference between treatments.



Figure 8: Total CO<sub>2</sub>-C evolved under different treatments during 24 hour incubations. Treatments labeled with the same letter do not differ significantly at p<0.05. Treatments 2 and 3 had significantly higher CO2-C produced during the incubation period.



Figure 9: Pre-sidedress nitrate level (ppm NO3-N) at different treatments. Treatments labeled with the same letter do not differ

## Tukey's HSD at a=0.05



Conclusion

- > Treatment with perennial alfalfa-grass hay yielded almost double than the other treatments, likely contributed by higher nitrate-nitrogen released after two year of alfalfa hay crop, and lower weed competition. Other parameters with possible effect on the yield will be analyzed in the future.
- >Reducing soil disturbance had positive impact on soil health metrics such as aggregate stability, respiration rate, and crop yield.
- >Planter down pressure, coulter, and press wheel adjustments critical to high crop yields, especially in organic grain with cover crops and without soil disturbance.

significantly at p<0.05. Treatment 4 had significantly higher NO3-N, likely contributed by the alfa-alfa hay and legume cover crops in the previous seasons.

← Figure 10: Average stability scores for each treatment. Trt 1 (with highest soil disturbance) had significantly lower stability score than the other three treatments.

References

*p<0.05*.

Delate, K., & Cambardella, C. A. (2004). Agroecosystem Performance during Transition to Certified Organic Grain Production. Agronomy Journal, 96(5), 1288-1298. doi: 10.2134/agronj2004.1288 Langemeier, M. and M. O'Donnell. (2020). "Conventional and Organic Enterprise Net Returns." Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign. Farm doc daily (10):161, September 4, 2020.



125



Inited States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture