Building Better Soils and Pastures in the South

Rocky Lemus Extension Forage Specialist Soil for Water Project National Center for Appropriate Technology September 14, 2023



EXTENSION Center for Forage Management and Environmental Stewardship



NATIONAL CENTER FOR APPROPRIATE TECHNOLOGY

Regenerative Grazing

- Maintain a proactive planning and active management of the grazing events
 - Grazing the appropriate amount of leaf production at the optimal time.
 - Encouraging the grazing livestock to uniformly utilize the pasture.
 - Managing grazing to maintain ample residual and allow full recovery of grazed plants before the next grazing event.
 - Being adaptive and flexible, active monitoring, and managing for positive changes to improve soil health are the main differences between regenerative and traditional grazing management.
 - Soil monitoring.

 Increase the diversity of beneficial plants (grasses, legumes, forbs).



Cool-season Annual Legumes

White clover



Red clover

 Alfalfa

Cool-season Perennial Legumes

They can fix from 60 to 120 pounds of nitrogen per acre.

Forage Soybeans



Annual

Lespedeza





Sun Hemp



Berseem Clover/ Annual Ryegrass Study

- Two Years = 2014-2015 and 2015-2016
- Treatments = Berseem (10 lb/ac), Ryegrass (25 lb/ac) + Berseem (10 lb/ac), and Ryegrass (25 lb/ac) + 100 lb N/ac



'Fixation' Balansa – Gain Per Acre



Soil for Water

Regenerative Grazing

- This project is about implementing practical, cost-effective, and lasting ways to regenerate our soil that can make livestock and communities more resilient in the face of climate disruption.
 - Farmer Focused Our mission is to provide technical assistance to farmers and landowners to facilitate best management practices.
 - Benefits Beyond the Farm Farmers should fully capture the values of regenerative grazing and soil health to create significant added value to the land and the livestock.



Pasture Evaluation Program

- Objectives:
 - The main objective was the identification of plant species diversity.
 - Identification of physical, biological, and chemical constraints that could prompts farmer to seek improved and more sustainable soil and forage management practices.
- Goal
 - To develop amore comprehensive understanding of soil health status can lead to better, regenerative, and sustainable management of forage systems and soils through adaptive, and data-driven approaches.



Т

Locations and Soil Types

Location	Soil Type				
Michigan City	Calhoun silt loam				
Tupelo	Marietta loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded				
Beulah	Levee - Clayey alluvium deposits				
Tyler Town	Providence silt loam, 2 to	5 percent slopes, eroded			
	Michigan City	Tupelo 28 20 51			
	Sand Silt Clay	Sand Silt Clay			
	Beulah 21 50 27	Tyler Town			

Sand Silt Clay

Sand Silt Clay

Methodology

- A pasture was selected at four locations in MS to evaluate forage species and soil quality indicators
 - Biomass samples were collected across three transects at each location
 - Each transect consisted of three sampling points that were 100 feet apart.
 - The distance between each transect was 100 ft.
 - Species composition using a grid point method.
 - Forage mass and nutritive value
 - Soil compaction and soil samples were collected at each sampling point
 - Samples within each transect were combine for soil quality analysis.





Species Composition

Location	Forage Species	Weeds
Michigan City	Texoma Tall Fescue Bermudagrass Crabgrass White clover	Horsenettle Pigweed
Tupelo	Bahiagrass	Broomsedge Pigweeed Ash



Species Composition

Location	Forage Species	Weeds
Beulah	Bermudagrass Crabgrass Dallisgrass	Broomsedge Woolly crotton Horsenettle Purselane Pepperweed PA Smartmweed
Tylertown	Bermudagrass Bahiagrass Crabgrass Red clover	Pigweed Dog fennel Purslane







Michigan City

Tupelo

Beulah

Tyler Town



Forage Nutritive Analysis

Location	PROTEIN	ADF	NDF	IVTDMD	WSC				
	Percent Dry Matter								
Michigan City	8.82	42.21	70.20	64.64	6.48				
Beulah	12.02	38.56	64.42	67.99	5.80				
Tupelo	9.77	39.37	63.59	65.29	5.41				
Tylertown	26.34	26.54	36.25	80.92	7.36				

Soil Compaction

- Most of the soil tested has a severe compaction.
 - Limit water infiltration
 - Increase nutrient movement.
 - Limit root growth.



Compaction Rating

 Percentage of measuring points having cone index > 300 psi in top 6 inches

Percentage	Compaction Rating	Subsoling Recommended
<30	Little to none	No
30-50	Slight	No
50-75	Moderate	Yes
>75	Severe	Yes

Duiker, 2002



Soil Physical Properties

Location	Predicted Available Water Capacity (AWC) (g H ₂ 0 g ⁻¹ soil)	Aggregate Stability (AS) (g g ⁻¹ soil)
Michigan City	0.29 A	44.3 B
Tupelo	0.23 B	52.4 A
Beulah	0.21 B	23.8 C
Tylertown	0.23 B	28.5 C
P-value	0.0004	0.0001

Soil Biological Properties

Location	Organic Matter (OM) (%)	Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) (%)	C:N Ratio	Soil Protein Index (SPI)	Soil Respiration (SR) (mg g ⁻¹ soil)	Active Carbon (AC) (ppm)
Michigan City	3.8	1.86 AB	10.9 BC	6.0	0.8	408
T	2.0				0 7	257
Ιυρειο	3.8	2.13 A	17.3 A	6.8	0.7	357
Beulah	3.6	1.45 C	10.1 C	4.7	0.5	343
Tylertown	3.6	1.81 B	11.9 B	5.3	0.7	390
P-value	NS	0.0078	0.0002	NS	NS	NS

Soil Chemical Properties- pH and Macronutrients

			N	lacronutrient	S	
Location	pH	Ρ	K	Са	Mg	S
				lb/ac		
Michigan City	5.8 AB	9.5 B	131 B	3231 A	162 B	12 C
Tupelo	5.2 B	4.3 B	178 B	2151 B	218 B	18 B
Beulah	5.2 B	18.8 B	414 A	2312 AB	659 B	10 C
Tylertown	6.1 A	55.6 A	323 A	1921 B	247 B	37 A
P-value	0.0357	0.0186	0.0019	0.0459	0.0368	<.0001

Soil Chemical Properties - Micronutrients

	Micronutrients								
Location	Al	В	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn			
	Ib/ac								
Michigan City	93 B	0.33 B	0.73	16.3 A	39.0 A	1.5 C			
Tupelo	354 A	0.33 B	1.13	23.5 A	42.7 A	2.5 B			
Beulah	47 B	0.27 B	0.67	27.1 A	14.0 A	3.9 A			
Tylertown	31 B	0.60 A	0.47	1.3 B	8.6 B	3.5 A			
P-value	0.0039	0.0063	NS	0.0058	0.0036	0.0011			

Soil Health Scores

	Physical		Biological			Chemical					
Location	AWC	AG	OM	SPI	SR	AC	рН	Р	К	ON	Score
Michigan City	97 A	76 A	81	43 AB	71 A	31	54 B	68 B	90	100 A	71 A
Tupelo	84 B	83 A		57 A	56 AB	20	<mark>5</mark> C	<mark>61</mark> B	100	<mark>81</mark> B	61 AB
Beulah	<mark>83</mark> B	29 C	70	30 B	35 B	21	<mark>0</mark> B	<mark>93</mark> AB	100	100 A	<mark>56</mark> B
Tylertown	84 B	44 B	66	35 B	67 A	27	78 A	100 A	100	100 A	70 A
P-value	0.0014	<.0001	NS	0.0489	0.036	NS	0.0384	0.0428	NS	0.0006	0.0479

- < 20 indicates *Very Low (constraining)* functioning.
- 20 40 indicates Low functioning.
- 40 to 60 indicates *Medium* functioning.
- 60 and 80 indicates *High* functioning.
- > 80 or greater indicates Very High functioning.

Ongoing Research Project

- There are over 11 million acres of bahiagrass across the southern USA.
- Evaluation of commercially available liquid fertilizers in bahiagrass production.
- Nitrogen use efficiency, improvement in nutritive value, cost of production and return.





Figure 2. Biomass production of Tifton 9 bahiagrass when treated with granular of liquid fertilizers.



Units	Bulk Amount Applied	Treatment	Yield (lb/ac)	Yield Increase (%)**	Fertilzer Cost (\$/ton or \$/Gal)	Cost (\$/ac)	Cost (\$/lb DM increas	se)
lbs	0	Control	4893					
lbs	218	Urea (46-0-0)	5844	19	938.00	101.96	0.11	
lbs	304	Urea Ammonium Sulfate (33-0-0S)	6998	43	825.00	125.00	0.06	
Gal	42	Urea Ammonium Nitrate Solution (32-0-0)	6207	27	730.00	114.06	0.09	
Gal	3	AgritechPlus (10-20-10)	5221	7	37.00	111.00	0.34	1
Gal	132	Agritech Plus*	5684	16	37.00	4884.00	6.17	
Gal	3	Pasture Booster Prime (30-0-0)	4852	-1	54.99	164.97	-4.02	
Gal	48	Pasture Booster Prime*	5608	15	54.99	2639.52	3.69	
Gal	3	Royal Grow (30-0-0)	5160	5	35.00	105.00	0.39	
Gal	48	Royal Grow*	5684	16	35.00	1680.00	2.12	

*Denotes application of product to meet the recommended application of 50 lb N/ac per cut.

**Percent Yield increase compared to the control.



Forages Adaptation in a Resilient Climate – Brachiaria Cultivar Evaluation

- Study conducted at four locations (Starkville, Brooksville, Newton, McNeil).
- 11 Brachiaria cultivars.

Outreach Programs

• Training Participations

- White Sand Research Unit Poplarville (2023)
- New Albany, MS (2022) Small Ruminants
- Ripley, MS (2023) Small Ruminants
- Hattiesburg, MS (2023) Small Ruminants
- Beef Cattle Field Day- Coastal Plain Experiment Station (2023)
- Cool-season Forage and Grazing Management Field Day (2023)
- Lexington, MS (2023) New Beginning Farmers, Ranchers, Veterans
- Working Efficiently with Livestock Producers (WELP) (2023)
 - NatGLCI
 - 30 USDA-NRCS Agents from AR, MS, LA
- Training Curriculum Small Ruminants
 - Programs include Forage identification, suitability, and grazing management
 - Parasite identification and FAMACHA certification
 - Animal Health







Forage Variety Nutrient Management Cover Crops This is a free event, but registration is required! Registration closes on March 31, 2023, by 5:00 PM Contact Information Dr. Rocky Lemus at (662) 325-7718 or focky Lemus(Imsulate.edu MISSISSEPT STATE UNIVERSITY. MISSISSEPT STATE UNIVERSITY.



based or note, color, otherioly, see, pregnancy, miglion, valitional origin, databilit, egge vecand investation, guide identity, pervetinformation, status at a U.S. veteran, or any other status protected by applicable law is prohibited.



Ath APRIL, 9:00 am. Tippacounty Extension Office Dorshi Hwy 15 & Faigrounds Ripley. Mr 38663 For more information conlact Mr. Brandon Alberson at (662) 837-8184 BASIC HERD HEALTH - Dr. Michael Pesato FAMACHA - Dr. BJ McClenton & Mr. B. Alberson PARASITE LAB - Dr. Leyla Rios & Ms. Lindsey Dearborn DORAGES - Dr. Rocky Lemus Register at <u>bit.ty/2023TIPPAHCOGOAT</u>

EXTENSION Extending knowledge. Changing lives.

NCAT

























- It is important to recognize that it will take several years for soils to turn around depending on many complex factors.
 - Soil biological processes are complex, and they interrelate with the microbial species, soil moisture, temperature, soil structure, organic matter, forage species, and livestock classes.
- Soil quality depends on plant root growth, grazing management, and forage species diversity
 - Assess your forage resources.
 - Understand your soils and soil nutrient balance.
 - Develop a grazing management strategy that fits your operation and needs.
 - Implement a well-executed rotational grazing system also allows the recycling of plant nutrients in the paddocks.
 - Develop a targeted weed control program.

Summary



Contact US





MSForages



http://bit.ly/mississippiforages



Rocky.Lemus@msstate.edu



(662) 325-7718