



# Buzz on the Range Project Monitoring Report

Ranch  
Location  
Monitor  
Date  
Page

Barney Creek Ranch  
Deep Creek Paddock  
Michael DeChellis  
8/8/2022  
1 of 12

## Summary

This is a report supporting the Buzz on the Range project. The monitoring detailed here includes:

- Ecological Health Evaluation using the Savory Short Term Monitoring methodology
- Plant Species inventory on a transect
- Detailed photos and other observations

## Ecological Health Evaluation

### Methodology

This methodology looks at several indicators and scores them based on clear subjective guidelines. The guidelines are intended to be interpreted in line with the site potential, which is dependent on the ecoregion that the evaluation is taking place. **High scores are generally difficult to attain. Low scores represent sites with significant room for improvement.** These indicators are generally a good clue into soil health.

### Score Sheet Definitions

Please see Appendix 1: Savory EOVS Evaluation Matrix

### Scores for this Site

Parameter	Score
Live Canopy	-10
Microfauna	-10
FG 1 Warm Season Grasses	-5
FG 2 Cool Season Grasses	-5
FG 3 Forbs & Legumes	-5
FG 4 Trees & Shrubs	-10
Contextually Desirable Rare Species	0
Contextually Undesirable Species	0
Litter Abundance	0
Litter Incorporation	0
Dung Decomposition	0
Bare Soil	10
Capping	0
Wind Erosion	0
Water Erosion	0



# Buzz on the Range Project Monitoring Report

Ranch  
Location  
Monitor  
Date  
Page

Barney Creek Ranch  
Deep Creek Paddock  
Michael DeChellis  
8/8/2022  
2 of 12

Parameter	Score
Total	-35

## Photos



Figure 1 - Ecological Eval Site 45 degrees



# Buzz on the Range Project Monitoring Report

Ranch  
Location  
Monitor  
Date  
Page

Barney Creek Ranch  
Deep Creek Paddock  
Michael DeChellis  
8/8/2022  
3 of 12



*Figure 2 - Ecological Eval Site Horizontal*

## Plant Species Inventory on a Transect

### Methodology

An inventory of the species along a transect was taken using the pin method that is used in Savory Long Term Monitoring transects.

1. A 75 foot transect was established with 2 stakes and a measuring tape

This material is based upon work that is supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under award number G242-23-W9211 through the Western Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education program under project number OW22-372. USDA is an equal opportunity employer and service provider. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.



# Buzz on the Range Project Monitoring Report

Ranch  
Location  
Monitor  
Date  
Page

Barney Creek Ranch  
Deep Creek Paddock  
Michael DeChellis  
8/8/2022  
4 of 12

2. A long thin pin with a flag was dropped through the field forage and plants. (Similar to a utility marking pin)
3. A count of all plant species that touched the pin was taken

### IMPORTANT NOTE:

Species identification is tricky depending on lifecycle and experience of the monitor. To get this right, detailed photos were taken onsite of all species encountered. If you suspect an error in a species identification, kindly provide that feedback. Together we can do this!

### Count Results

Site (ft) / Species	1	2 Red Fescue	3
2	4		
6	1		
10	2		
14	1	1	
20		1	
24		1	1
28	2	2	3
32		2	
36		1	
40		1	
44			1
48		1	3
52		2	3
56		2	5
60		3	
64		1	
68		3	
72			
76		1	6

### Species Inventory

The following document the species found.



# Buzz on the Range Project Monitoring Report

Ranch  
Location  
Monitor  
Date  
Page

Barney Creek Ranch  
Deep Creek Paddock  
Michael DeChellis  
8/8/2022  
5 of 12


Species Number / Name (if known)	Photo
1	



# Buzz on the Range Project Monitoring Report

Ranch  
Location  
Monitor  
Date  
Page

**Barney Creek Ranch**  
**Deep Creek Paddock**  
**Michael DeChellis**  
**8/8/2022**  
**6 of 12**

Species Number / Name (if known)	Photo
2 / Red Fescue	



# Buzz on the Range Project Monitoring Report

Ranch  
Location  
Monitor  
Date  
Page

**Barney Creek Ranch**  
**Deep Creek Paddock**  
**Michael DeChellis**  
**8/8/2022**  
**7 of 12**

Species Number / Name (if known)	Photo
3 /	



# Buzz on the Range Project Monitoring Report

Ranch  
Location  
Monitor  
Date  
Page

**Barney Creek Ranch**  
**Deep Creek Paddock**  
**Michael DeChellis**  
**8/8/2022**  
**8 of 12**

## Transect Photos



*Figure 3 - A photo of the transect line*





# Buzz on the Range Project Monitoring Report

Ranch  
Location  
Monitor  
Date  
Page

Barney Creek Ranch  
Deep Creek Paddock  
Michael DeChellis  
8/8/2022  
9 of 12



*Figure 4 - Transect End*

This material is based upon work that is supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under award number G242-23-W9211 through the Western Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education program under project number OW22-372. USDA is an equal opportunity employer and service provider. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.



# **Buzz on the Range Project Monitoring Report**

Ranch  
Location  
Monitor  
Date  
Page

**Barney Creek Ranch  
Deep Creek Paddock  
Michael DeChellis  
8/8/2022  
10 of 12**

## Other Observations

No evidence of Buzz on the Range pollinator promoting species

Even outside of the transect, this pasture had no ready evidence of our species of four seed which makes it particular interesting if they come up in later growing seasons.

Significant wind and low vitality of pasture

This pasture has low vitality and therefore may really benefit from careful light-touch grazing over time. As a non-irrigated, high wind location, it really demonstrates a GREAT test case for this project – seeds aren't as likely to blow away in manure, and the moisture is really needed for germination in this place.



# Buzz on the Range Project Monitoring Report

Ranch Location Monitor Date Page

Barney Creek Ranch Deep Creek Paddock Michael DeChellis 8/8/2022 11 of 12

## Appendix 1: Savory EOVS Evaluation Matrix

### EOV FORM 3 : EVALUATION MATRIX 1/2

HUB: \_\_\_\_\_ EOVS MONITOR: \_\_\_\_\_ ECOLOGICAL STATE: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

NUM	ECO INDICATORS	PROCESS INDICATOR	SCORE	None to slight	Slight to moderate	Moderate	Moderate to extreme	Extreme to total	Yearly Cycle	Midyear Cycle	Emergency Flow	Com Dynamics
1	LIVE CANOPY ABUNDANCE	% of SITE POTENTIAL	-10 to 10	Live canopy exceeds 80% of potential (site production based on recent observations). Reduce one score class if more than 40% of biomass is annual plants	60-80% of live canopy abundance potential based on recent observations. Reduce one score class if more than 40% of biomass is annual plants	40-60% of live canopy abundance potential based on recent observations. Reduce one score class if more than 40% of biomass is annual plants	20-40% of live canopy abundance potential based on recent observations. Reduce one score class if more than 40% of biomass is annual plants	Less than 20% of site live canopy potential. Minimal abundance				
2	MICROFAUNA	EVIDENCE OF MICROFAUNA	-10 A 10	Microfauna life signs are abundant and very easy to find	Slight to moderate reduction in microfauna signs, still abundant	Moderate reduction of microfauna signs. Some components missing	Little abundance of microfauna signs related to site potential.	Next to no sign of microfauna. Complete denitrification				
3	FG 1 WARM SEASON GRASSES	FG 1 WARM SEASON GRASSES	-10 TO 10	Plants show vigor and amount of green leaves that matches the expected for the site and the year.	Plants show vigor and amount of green leaves that is slightly below the expected for the site and the year.	Moderate loss of vigor and increase of % standing dead. Few deciduous or deer plants	High frequency of plants with poor growth and high standing dead percentage. High percentage of plants with dead centers	Deciduous or dead plants are the most common. Abundant standing dead material				
4	FG 2 COOL SEASON GRASSES	FG 2 COOL SEASON GRASSES	-10 TO 10	Amount of floral stems and young plants of this group matches site and year potential.	Amount of floral stems and young plants of this group is slightly lower than site and year potential.	The group maintains a moderate amount of floral stems and young plants	Stand reproduction is slightly reduced. Minimal amount of flower stems. Young plants infrequent	The group stands does not exhibit flower stems or young plants				
5	FG 3 FORBS & LEGUMES	FG 3 FORBS & LEGUMES	-10 TO 10	Plants show vigor and amount of green leaves that matches the expected for the site and the year.	Plants show vigor and amount of green leaves that is slightly below the expected for the site and the year.	Moderate loss of vigor and increase of % standing dead. Few deciduous or deer plants	High frequency of plants with poor growth and high standing dead percentage. High percentage of plants with dead centers	Deciduous or dead plants are the most common. Abundant standing dead material				
6	FG 4 TREES & SHRUBS	FG 4 TREES & SHRUBS	-10 TO 10	Amount of floral stems and young plants of this group matches site and year potential.	Amount of floral stems and young plants of this group is slightly lower than site and year potential.	The group maintains a moderate amount of floral stems and young plants	Stand reproduction is slightly reduced. Minimal amount of flower stems. Young plants infrequent	The group stands does not exhibit flower stems or young plants				
7	CONTEXTUALLY DISIRABLE RARE SPECIES	FREQUENCY OF (fill the name)	0 TO 10	Species frequency is the maximum expected for the site and the year.	Species frequency is lower than expected for the site and the year.	Minimal frequency of species. Hard to find.	Species only in protected areas.	Species only in protected areas.				
8	CONTEXTUALLY UNDESIRABLE SPECIES	Abundance and reproduction of (fill the name)	0 to -10	Frequency of young plants (contextually undesirable species) is minimal	Frequency of young plants (contextually undesirable species) is minimal	Frequency of young plants (contextually undesirable species) is minimal	Frequency of young plants (contextually undesirable species) is minimal	Frequency of young plants (contextually undesirable species) is minimal				

This material is based upon work that is supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under award number G242-23-W9211 through the Western Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education program under project number OW22-372. USDA is an equal opportunity employer and service provider. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.



# Buzz on the Range Project Monitoring Report

Ranch Location Monitor Date Page

Barney Creek Ranch Deep Creek Paddock Michael DeChellis 8/8/2022 12 of 12

NUM	ECO INDICATORS	PROCESS INDICATOR	SCORE	DEPARTURE FROM REFERENCE SHEET					ECOLOGICAL PROCESSES			
				None to slight	Slight to moderate	Moderate	Moderate to extreme	Extreme to total	Water Cycle	Mineral Cycle	Energy Flow	Comm System
8	LITTER ABUNDANCE	%COVER	0 TO 10	Amount is what is expected for the site potential and weather 10	Slightly more or less relative to site potential and weather 5	Litter is scarce, absent or in excess for the site 0	Moderate to extreme 0	Extreme to total 0	Water Cycle	Mineral Cycle	Energy Flow	Comm System
10	LITTER INCORPORATION	LITTER/SOIL CONTACT	0 TO 10	Litter mixes well with soil and it is composting 10	Some litter is composting and other is mulching 5	Litter is detached from soil surface and is not decomposing (blowing litter) 0	Moderate to extreme 0	Extreme to total 0	Water Cycle	Mineral Cycle	Energy Flow	Comm System
11	DUNG DECOMPOSITION	DUNG AGE STRUCTURE	0 TO 10	Dung decomposes fast, most dung pellets age is less than one year. High insect activity 10	Dung decomposes slightly slower, but old dung pellets are relatively few. Moderate insect activity 5	Which, mummified dung is predominant. Decomposition is slow. Little insect activity 0	Moderate to extreme 0	Extreme to total 0	Water Cycle	Mineral Cycle	Energy Flow	Comm System
12	BARE SOIL	% BARE SOIL	-20 to +20	Amount and size of bare areas match what expected for the site. 20	Slightly to moderate higher than expected for the site. Bare areas are small and rarely connected. 10	Moderately higher than expected for the site. Bare areas are of moderate size and sporadically connected. 0	Moderate to much higher than expected for the site. Bare areas are large and occasionally connected. -10	Much higher than expected for the site. Bare areas are large and usually interconnected. -20	Water Cycle	Mineral Cycle	Energy Flow	Comm System
13	CAPPING	SURFACE SOIL RESISTANCE	-10 TO 0	Soil surface is loose or with a light capping that breaks easily with the finger 0	Soil is stable, evidence of deflation/deposition patterns is absent or occasional. 0	Blowout/deposition patterns are frequent, but not connected. -5	Extensive blowout/deposition patterns. Connected. -10	Heavy capping, requires metal object to break. Mature capping -20	Water Cycle	Mineral Cycle	Energy Flow	Comm System
14	WIND EROSION	ACTIVE PEDSTALS	0 TO -20	Not present, and if present, very uncommon and with depth less than 2 cm 0	Not present, and if present, very uncommon and with depth less than 2 cm 0	Moderate Active pedstealing, terraces common. Some rocks and plants are present. Sediment movement follows predominant wind direction. -10	Abundant active pedstealing and numerous terraces. Many rocks and plants are pedstealed, exposed roots. Sediment movement follows predominant wind direction. -20	Sheet erosion is evident and extensive. Litter accumulates at obstacles. -20	Water Cycle	Mineral Cycle	Energy Flow	Comm System
15	WATER EROSION	ACTIVE WATER FLOWS	0 to -20	Minimal evidence of past or current soil deposition or erosion 0	Drainages are represented as natural stable channels; vegetation common and no sign of erosion 0	Moderate in number to common with some pedstealing and pedstealing on slopes and/or bed. Headcuts are active; downcutting is not apparent. -10	Water flow patterns more numerous and extensive than expected. -35	Common with indications of active erosion on slopes and/or bed. Headcuts are numerous and active. -20	Water Cycle	Mineral Cycle	Energy Flow	Comm System
		TOTAL		50	25	60	0	-70				
				120	60	0	0	-140				

This material is based upon work that is supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under award number G242-23-W9211 through the Western Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education program under project number OW22-372. USDA is an equal opportunity employer and service provider. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.