Grassed Waterway for Co-Management



October 2020



Co-management:

Refers to managing farms and their surrounding environments such that multiple goals are achieved: natural resource conservation *and* food safety.

Co-management practices:

Refers to those best management practices (BMPs) which meet objectives in both natural resource conservation and food safety.

Grassed waterway: A vegetated channel that conveys surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet.



A grassed waterway used to manage runoff in Waimanalo, Oahu

How does a grassed waterway help?

Encourages infiltration of potentially contaminated runoff into the soil and diverting away from production areas. This practice reduces the amount of potentially contaminated runoff that flows over production fields and reduces exposure of harmful pathogens on produce and/or to farm employees.

Functions

- → Increased surface water infiltration
- → Improved soil structure
- → Increased plant cover

Best use: Good for farms with steep topography and high rainfall

Benefits

...to food safety

 Reduced risk of contamination from runoff and floodings to farm production areas

....to conservation

- Reduced soil erosion
- Building carbon and soil health
- Groundwater recharge

Practicality

the pros

- Helps to reduce problematic puddling
- Effective to guide water into more desirable areas, such as to a sediment basin/catchment pond

the cons

- Labor, equipment, and cost required to dig channel and establish seed.
- Requires regular manual maintenance of grass

Literature Summary

- Grassed waterways can significantly reduce runoff volume and velocity (up to 90% for unmanaged vs 10% for cut), including sediments and agrochemicals (Fiener & Auerswald, 2003).
- Peak discharge from a field can be reduced by a mean of 69% using grassed waterway installations. Sediment discharge at the catchment outlet decreased by a mean of 93% compared to the discharge measured in the grassed waterway's runoff inflow (Evrard et al., 2008)

References

Evrard, O., Vandaele, K., Van Wesemael, B., & Bielders, C. L. (2008). A grassed waterway and earthen dams to control muddy floods from a cultivated catchment of the Belgian loess belt. *Geomorphology*, 100(3-4), 419-428.

Fiener, P. and Auerswald, K. 2003. Effectiveness of grassed waterways in reducing runoff and sediment delivery from agricultural watersheds. Journal of Environmental Quality, 32(3), 927-936.

Resources

- 1. Learn more about co-management: Wild Farm Alliance: Food safety and Conservation Resources
- 2. Learn more about food safety: Roots FSMA Guide & Produce Safety Alliance
- 3. Learn more about conservation practices and on-farm assistance opportunities: Oahu RC&D & CTAHR Extension

Acknowledgements

Produced by Oʻahu Resource Conservation and Development Council (Oʻahu RC&D) in collaboration with CTAHR Cooperative Extension, University of Hawaiʻi at Mānoa

This fact sheet is provided by Oʻahu RC&D in good faith, but without warranty. It is intended as an educational resource and not as advice tailored to a specific farm operation or a substitute for actual regulations and guidance from FDA or other regulatory agencies. We will not be responsible or liable directly or indirectly for any consequences resulting from use of information provided in this document or resources suggested in this document.

Oʻahu RC&D supports sustainable agricultural operations throughout the state of Hawaiʻi by creating opportunities for grant funding to implement best management practices, providing conservation planning, and through development of farmer networks. Find out more at oahurcd.org.

This material is based upon work that is supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under award number 2018-38640-28418 through the Western Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education program under project number WPDP19-24. USDA is an equal opportunity employer and service provider. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.









United States Department of Agriculture

National Institute of Food and Agriculture