Practice Characteristics and Their Impact on Adoption Decisions

wide range of factors can influence a farmer or landowners' decision to adopt a new practice, but perhaps among the most important are the perceptions and attitudes people hold about a given practice. To complicate matters, people can vary widely in their perceptions of the benefits or drawbacks of practices. To effectively communicate the benefits of practices, we need to begin with an understanding of where people are starting from.

Among the most useful frameworks for evaluating people's perception of a new practice comes from Everett Rogers' Diffusion of Innovations theory¹. Separate from the Diffusion curve that you are familiar with, this **practice characteristics** framework identifies the key elements that shape perceptions of a practice. Rogers identified five categories of perceptions that influence decisionmaking when it comes to new practices. This worksheet introduces these categories and provides a form that you can use in your own outreach planning.

Practice Characteristics

• **Relative Advantage:** The degree to which an innovation is perceived as being better than the idea it supersedes. This could include how beneficial (or not) the new practice might be for the producer, how certain these benefits are, and the social acceptability of a practice.

• **Compatibility:** The degree to which an innovation is perceived as consistent with the existing values, past experiences, and needs of potential adopters. This is particularly important for practices that might impact other aspects of farm management.

• **Complexity:** The degree to which an innovation is perceived as relatively difficult to understand and use. This could include how much time and management effort a practice might take, as well as how challenging it is to learn how to use a new practice.

• **Observability:** The degree to which the results of an innovation are visible, either to the producer or to others. Not all practices have easily observable impacts, including practices that might impact off-farm conditions, or take time to become observable.

• **Trialability:** The degree to which an innovation may be experimented with on a limited basis. This would include the ability to try a practice without making significant investments in new equipment, advising, or technology.

¹ Rogers, EM (2003) Diffusion of Innovations, 5th edition. Free Press, New York



This material is based upon work supported by USDA-NIFA, through the North Central Region SARE program under project numbers ENC20-194 and ENC18 169. USDA is an equal-opportunity employer and service provider. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the USDA. *Adapted from Taylor-Powell, E. and E. Henert. 2008. Developing a logic model: Teaching and training guide. University of Wisconsin-Extension, Program Development and Evaluation.



Practice Characteristics and Their Impact on Adoption Decisions (continued)

This framework has been shown to be helpful in understanding different decisions when it comes to cover crops², no-till, field buffers³, and nitrogen management practices. For example, while cover crops are seen by some has having *relative advantages*, including building soil health and reducing erosion, and are relatively *trialable*, many see the practice as *complex* (especially timing and species decisions), not highly compatible with current production systems (primarily concerns over termination and interfering with spring field operations), with benefits that are not always *observable* (improvements in soil health take time to accrue and are not always easy to see without certain types of soil tests).

Motivators for Adoption

Practice	Relative Advantage	Compatibility	Complexity	Observability	Trialability
No-Till	Х	х			х
Cover Crops	Х				Х
Filter Strips	Х	х	Х	Х	
Grassed Waterways	Х	Х	Х	Х	

Barriers to Adoption

Practice	Relative Advantage	Compatibility	Complexity	Observability	Trialability
No-Till	Х	х	Х		
Cover Crops		х	Х	х	
Filter Strips	Х	х			
Grassed Waterways	Х	х	Х		

² Arbuckle, J.G. and G. Roesch-McNally. 2015. Cover crop adoption in Iowa: The role of perceived practice characteristics. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 70(6): 418-429.

³ Reimer, A.P., D.K. Weinkauf, and L.S. Prokopy. 2012. The influence of perceptions of practice characteristics: An examination of agricultural best management practice adoption in two Indiana watersheds. *Journal of Rural Studies*: 118-128.



This material is based upon work supported by USDA-NIFA, through the North Central Region SARE program under project numbers ENC20-194 and ENC18 169. USDA is an equal-opportunity employer and service provider. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the USDA. *Adapted from Taylor-Powell, E. and E. Henert. 2008. Developing a logic model: Teaching and training guide. University of Wisconsin-Extension, Program Development and Evaluation.



Practice Characteristics and Their Impact on Adoption Decisions (continued)

You can use the following table in your outreach planning by identifying potential motivations and barriers for your target audiences. You can work with your team to fill these tables in based on your experiences with producers. This process is most effective when done in collaboration with real members of your intended audience though. By sitting down and using this framework to guide your conversation, you can learn about how farmers or landowners perceive of the benefits or downsides of your target practices.

Motivators for Adoption

Practice	Relative Advantage	Compatibility	Complexity	Observability	Trialability

Barriers to Adoption						
Practice	Relative Advantage	Compatibility	Complexity	Observability	Trialability	



This material is based upon work supported by USDA-NIFA, through the North Central Region SARE program under project numbers ENC20-194 and ENC18-169. USDA is an equal-opportunity employer and service provider. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the USDA. *Adapted from Taylor-Powell, E. and E. Henert. 2008. Developing a logic model: Teaching and training guide. University of Wisconsin-Extension, Program Development and Evaluation.

