

1 81 Lay



Perceived Exertion (RPE), Comfort, and Control Assessment

As you become more familiar with your body's reactions during exercise, you'll learn to recognize when it's time to change how hard you work. For instance, consider a walker aiming for moderate-intensity exercise. Their target would be to hit a "somewhat hard" level, corresponding to 12-14 on the Borg Scale. Should they find their effort registering as "very light" (a 9 on the Borg Scale), this is an indicator to ramp up your pace. Conversely, if the walker experiences his or her effort as "extremely hard" (ranking at 19 on the Borg Scale), it's a sign to reduce the intensity, slowing down to stay within the moderate-intensity zone.

Rating	of I	Perceived	Exertion
--------	------	-----------	----------

o ito enertion, sitting and resting	6	- No	exertion,	sitting	and	resting
-------------------------------------	---	------	-----------	---------	-----	---------

- 7 Very, very light
- 8 Very, very light
- 9 Very light
- 10 Very light
- 11 Fairly light
- 12 Somewhat hard
- 13 Somewhat hard
- 14 Somewhat hard
- 15 Hard
- 16 Very hard
- 17 Very hard
- 18 Very, very hard
- 19 Extremely hard
- 20 Maximum exertion (Borg, 1998)

1. Scoop Shovel

Worksheet 1:	Comfort and	Cardiovascular	Effort Assessment	for	Shovel U	se

Participant Information:
Participant ID:
Shovel Types (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N):
Task Description: Scoop up wood pellets and transfer them to a wheelbarrow.

Comfort Evaluation for Scoop Shovel:

1.	On a scale from	1 to 5, rate	the overal	l comfe	ort of using the	shove	l (1 being ex	tremely
	comfortable, 2	comfortabl	le, 3 mode	erately	comfortable,	4 unc	omfortable,	5 being
	extremely uncor	nfortable).						
	(Rating Shovel 1	2	Shovel 2	3	Shovel 3	4).	





2.	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe.
	Shovel 1 Some bicep
	Shovel 2 very shight lower back
	Shovel 3 lover & mid back
3.	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design, curvature)
	Shovel 1 the ergonomic handle helped a lot so I didn't have to bend over much
	Shovel 1 the ergonomic handle helped a lot so I didn't have to bend over much shovel 2 Short handle made scooping difficult
	Shovel 3 blunt showel end made me have to exert more force
4.	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
	Shovel 1 Changing the stated grip on the ergonomic handle to be more comfortable
	Shovel 2 longer shovelhandle
	Shovel 3 add bevel to edge of shovel
Works	heet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel Use
	ol Evaluation:
5.	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
1	(Rating Shovel 1 1 Shovel 2 2 Shovel 3 2).
1.	(Rating Shover 1 Shover 2 Shover 3).
2.	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Shovel 1 banale provided extra stability
	Shovel 2 no somes, but shovel handle is shorter
	Shovel 3 hard to scoop ble of harsh edge
3.	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Shovel 1 couldn't turn as well we the attachment
	Shovel 2 couldn't turn as well wi attachment
	Shovel 3 couldn't turn as well w/ attachment
4.	Recommend enhancements for better control.
	Shovel 1 no Surger
	Shovel 2 longer shad handle





Shovel 3 bevel edge to scoop ensier

	Cardiovascular	· Effort	Eva	luation
--	----------------	----------	-----	---------

5. Estimate your heart rate du	ring the tas	sk and compar	re it to you	ur target heart rate zone	
Using the Borg RPE scale	(6-20), rate	your perceiv	ed exertio	n.	
(Rating Rating Shovel 1	10	_Shovel 2	13	_ Shovel 3_\3_).





2. Pitchfork

Worksheet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Pitchfork Use
Pitchfork Type (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M):
Task Description: Removing straw mixed with dung and transferring them to a wheelbarrow.
Comfort Evaluation for Pitchfork:
6. On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the pitchfork (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).
1. (Rating Pitchfork 1 3 Pitchfork 2 2 Pitchfork 3 2).
Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe. Pitchfork 1 Shoulder 4 wrist
Pitchfork 2 forearm Pitchfork 3 forearm
Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design curvature) Pitchfork 1 heaviness of fork increased my effort Pitchfork 2 Stots on grip shightly uncomfortable, grip a little long Pitchfork 3 grip let me stand up straight to clean
4 Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort. Pitchfork 1_highter material
Pitchfork 2 <u>shorter ergonomic grip</u> Pitchfork 3 <u>Shorter ergonomic grip</u>





Worksheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Pitchfork Use

Control Evaluation:

7.	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
1	(Rating Pitchfork 1 2 Pitchfork 2 2 Pitchfork 3 1).
2	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Pitchfork 1 good, thinner spindles let material Sip
	Pitchfork 2 good, difficult to turn over because of app
	Pitchfork 3 good, difficult to toss soiled Material
3	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Pitchfork 1 heaviness, thin spindles thick handle
	Pitchfork 2 small fork head lead to spilling on sides.
	Pitchfork 3 Jong ergonomic grip
4	Recommend enhancements for better control.
	Pitchfork 1 highter material
	Pitchfork 2 bigger fork head, maybe Shorter erganomic grip
	Pitchfork 3 Shorter ergonomic grip, less billy bundle at the end
Cardio	ovascular Effort Evaluation:
5. I	Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
τ	Jsing the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion for pitchforks.
	(Rating Pitchfork 1 \(\scale 3 \) Pitchfork 2 \(\scale \) Pitchfork 3 \(\scale \) \(\scale \).

General Instructions:

Participants should complete these assessments after using each tool type for the designated tasks. Feedback should be detailed, focusing on ergonomic efficiency, cardiovascular effort, and perceived exertion to guide recommendations for design improvements. This approach ensures that the assessment captures not only



University of Missouri



the subjective and physical aspects of using the tools but also the cardiovascular impact, providing a comprehensive understanding of their ergonomic efficiency.

1 st Lay



1 W Red 2 X Proffs 2 X white

Perceived Exertion (RPE), Comfort, and Control Assessment

As you become more familiar with your body's reactions during exercise, you'll learn to recognize when it's time to change how hard you work. For instance, consider a walker aiming for moderate-intensity exercise. Their target would be to hit a "somewhat hard" level, corresponding to 12-14 on the Borg Scale. Should they find their effort registering as "very light" (a 9 on the Borg Scale), this is an indicator to ramp up your pace. Conversely, if the walker experiences his or her effort as "extremely hard" (ranking at 19 on the Borg Scale), it's a sign to reduce the intensity, slowing down to stay within the moderate-intensity zone.

Rating of Perceived Exertion

- 6 No exertion, sitting and resting
- 7 Very, very light
- 8 Very, very light
- 9 Very light
- 10 Very light
- 11 Fairly light
- 12 Somewhat hard
- 13 Somewhat hard
- 14 Somewhat hard
- 15 Hard
- 16 Very hard
- 17 Very hard
- 18 Very, very hard
- 19 Extremely hard
- 20 Maximum exertion (Borg, 1998)

1. Scoop Shovel

Worksheet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Shovel Use

Partici	pant	Infor	ma	tion:
---------	------	-------	----	-------

Participant ID:

Shovel Types (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N.....):

Task Description: Scoop up wood pellets and transfer them to a wheelbarrow.

Comfort Evaluation for Scoop Shovel:

1. On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the shovel (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).

	10 /	-		A 1			
(Rating Shovel 1	TON.	5	Shovel 2	L	Shovel 3	-	1
(Rating Shover 1	~~0		SHOVELZ		SHOVELS).





2.	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe.
	Shovel 1 wist in the turning motion dumping the soils bedding, slight laverback
	Shovel 2 lower back
	Shovel 3 Jouer back
3.	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design, curvature)
	Shovel 1 corve in bandle belfed
	Shovel 2 5 b white the servers of the later of Gove the servers of
	Shovel 3 Igal of bevel on the backet made me have to put in more affort
4.	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
	Shovel 1 no handle at the end of the Shovel
	Shovel 2 make the handle longer to make it easier to scoop
	Shovel 3 add bevel to bucket, may be make stots bigger for less snaking
XX/a salam	hand 2. Conduct and Danishand Frankling Assessment for Change III.
	heet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel Use
Contro	ol Evaluation:
5.	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
1.	(Rating Shovel 1 _ 1 _ Shovel 2 _ 1 _ Shovel 3 _ 2 _).
2.	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Shovel 1 deep bucker helped to get bigger scoops
	Shovel 2 good, no issue Shovel 3 good coop what I was wanting
3.	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Shovel 1 no issues
	Shovel 2 shallow bucket
	Shovel 3 lack of bevelon bucket/ buntend
4.	Recommend enhancements for better control.
	Shovel 1 No 1550es
	Shovel 2 different bucket shape





Shovel 3 bevel edge of sharel

Card	iovascu	ılar	Effort	Eval	luation

5. Estimate your heart rate during the	task and compare it to	your target heart rate zon	e.
Using the Borg RPE scale (6-20), ra	ate your perceived exer	tion.	
(Rating Rating Shovel 1	Shovel 2 13	Shovel 3).





2. Pitchfork

Works	heet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Pitchfork Use
Pitchfo	rk Type (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M):
Task D	escription: Removing straw mixed with dung and transferring them to a wheelbarrow.
Comfo	rt Evaluation for Pitchfork:
6.	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the pitchfork (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).
1.	(Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3).
2	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe. Pitchfork 1 arms & Shoulders
	Pitchfork 2 forearms, slight midback
	Pitchfork 3 Shoulders, Slight back
3	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design,
	curvature)
	Pitchfork 1 grip on end made more comfortable, straightness made more incomf.
	Pitchfork 2 1 liked the handle at the end, but the grip in the middle was too in
	Pitchfork 3 grip in middle is comfortable at the end it is bulky
4	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
	Pitchfork 1 add a bend in handle or on form
	Pitchfork 2 make grip of Fork higher up
	Pitchfork 3 less bulky one at the end





Worksheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Pitchfork Use

Control Evaluation:

7	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
1	(Rating Pitchfork 1 3 Pitchfork 2 2 Pitchfork 3 1).
2	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Pitchfork 1 thin spindles for apart made it difficult to keep soil material on fork
	Pitchfork 2 ok, but fork area was so small I lost a lot of soil materia
	Pitchfork 3 lots of control, I wed the deep area of the head for sifting
3	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Pitchfork 1 Straightness of fork, heaviness
	Pitchfork 2 fork area small, app on fork too low
	Pitchfork 3 bulky gripon top
4	Recommend enhancements for better control.
	Pitchfork 1 make spindles deser together, add bend in both part
	Pitchfork 2 larger fork over, higher grip
	Pitchfork 3 less bulky grip on top
Card	vascular Effort Evaluation:
5	stimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
	sing the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion for pitchforks.
	(Rating Pitchfork 1 12 Pitchfork 2 11 Pitchfork 3 11).

General Instructions:

Participants should complete these assessments after using each tool type for the designated tasks. Feedback should be detailed, focusing on ergonomic efficiency, cardiovascular effort, and perceived exertion to guide recommendations for design improvements. This approach ensures that the assessment captures not only