









Perceived Exertion (RPE), Comfort, and Control Assessment

As you become more familiar with your body's reactions during exercise, you'll learn to recognize when it's time to change how hard you work. For instance, consider a walker aiming for moderate-intensity exercise. Their target would be to hit a "somewhat hard" level, corresponding to 12-14 on the Borg Scale. Should they find their effort registering as "very light" (a 9 on the Borg Scale), this is an indicator to ramp up your pace. Conversely, if the walker experiences his or her effort as "extremely hard" (ranking at 19 on the Borg Scale), it's a sign to reduce the intensity, slowing down to stay within the moderate-intensity zone.

Rating of Perceived Exertion

- 6 No exertion, sitting and resting
- 7 Very, very light
- 8 Very, very light
- 9 Very light
- 10 Very light
- 11 Fairly light
- 12 Somewhat hard
- 13 Somewhat hard
- 14 Somewhat hard
- 15 Hard
- 16 Very hard
- 17 Very hard
- 18 Very, very hard
- 19 Extremely hard
- 20 Maximum exertion (Borg, 1998)

1. Scoop Shovel

Worksheet	1:	Comfort	and	Cardiovascular	Effort	Assessment	for	Shovel	without	Auxiliary
Attachment	Us	e				char	7 0	#11	SOU	MU
						111111	1 1	+	NW	11101

Participant Information:

Participant ID:

Shovel Types (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N.....):

Task Description: Scoop up wood pellets and transfer them to a wheelbarrow.

Comfort Evaluation for Scoop Shovel:

1.	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the	overall comfo	ort of using the	shovel (1	being extr	emely
	comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3	moderately	comfortable,	4 uncomf	ortable, 5	being
	extremely uncomfortable).					
		110				

(Rating Shovel 1	62	Shovel 2	3	Shovel 3	3).
(Rating Shovel 1 _	0 6	Shovel 2	9	Shovel 3	0	

tavorite





2.	Please describe.
	Shovel 1_none
	Shovel 2 R forearm
	Shovel 3 None
3.	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design,
	curvature)
	shovel 1 the handle was a little to long & straight up & do
	a 10 boinbt of about
	Shovel 3 height of shovel, but better angle than #2
4.	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
	Shovel 1 MOVE at a curved handle
	Shovel 2 Make it taller
	Shovel 3 Make it taller
Works Use	sheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel without Auxiliary Attachment
Contro	ol Evaluation:
1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
(R	ating Shovel 1 Shovel 2 Shovel 3 2).
2	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Shovel 1 had control over where I was putting it.
	Shovel 2 mostly had control
	Shovel 3 I had more control than #2
3	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Shovel 1 Straight up + down handle
	Shovel 2 height of shovel
	Shovel 3 height of shovel
4	Recommend enhancements for better control.





Shovel 1 March taller; curve angle move
Shovel 3 March taller

Cardiovascular Effort Evaluation without Auxiliary Attachment:

5. Estimate your heart rate du	iring the t	ask and compa	re it to yo	our target heart	rate zone.	
Using the Borg RPE scale	(6-20), ra	te your perceiv	ed exerti	on.		
(Rating Rating Shovel 1	6	Shovel 2	3 8	Shovel 3	7)





shovel #2 was my

Comfort Evaluation for Scoop Shovel with auxiliary attachment:

	comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).
	(Rating Shovel 1
2	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body)
	Please describe.
	Shovel 1 18ft Wrist
	Shovel 2 N A
	Shovel 3 left forearm
3	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design,
	curvature)
	Shovel 1 the extra handle made it harder to twist + dur
	Shovel 2 the extra handle gave me a lot more leverage
	Shovel 3 the plastic matchai and minimal curvature
4	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
4	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort. Shovel 1 Maybe woode should should be
4	Shovel 1 maybe make handle shorter
4	Shovel 1 maybe make handle shorter Shovel 2 n/a
4	Shovel 1 maybe make handle shorter
	Shovel 1 maybe make hande shorter Shovel 2 n/a Shovel 3 make Shovel more curved
	Shovel 1 maybe make handle shorter Shovel 2 n/a
Works	Shovel 1 maybe make hande shorter Shovel 2 n/a Shovel 3 make Shovel more curved
Works	Shovel 1 Maybe make hande Shorter Shovel 2 May Shovel 3 May Show
Works	Shovel 1 Maybe make hande shorter Shovel 2 Na Shovel 3 Make Shovel Move Curved heet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel with auxiliary attachment Use of Evaluation: On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not
Works	Shovel 1 Maybe make hande Shorter Shovel 2 May Shovel 3 May Showl May Curved theet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel with auxiliary attachment Use of Evaluation: On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
Works	Shovel 1 Maybe make hande shorter Shovel 2 Na Shovel 3 Make Shovel Move Curved heet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel with auxiliary attachment Use of Evaluation: On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not
Works Contro	Shovel 2 Make hande Shorter Shovel 2 Make Shovel Move Curved Shovel 3 Make Shovel Move Curved heet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel with auxiliary attachment Use of Evaluation: On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all). (Rating Shovel 1 Shovel 2 Shovel 3
Works Contro	Shovel 1 Maybe make hande Shorter Shovel 2 May Shovel 3 May Showl May Curved theet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel with auxiliary attachment Use of Evaluation: On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).





	Shovel 2 had complete control
	Shovel 3 harder to lift load
7	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Shovel 1 long axillary handle
	Shovel 2 hore
	Shovel 3 plastic material & minimal curvatore
8	Recommend enhancements for better control.
	Shovel 1 shorter axillary handle
	Shovel 2 MON
	Shovel 3 make shovel curved more; stronger material
Cardio	ovascular Effort Evaluation with auxiliary attachment:
5. I	Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
τ	Using the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion.
(Rating Rating Shovel 1 Shovel 2 Shovel 3.





Worksheet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Pitchfork without Auxiliary



2. Pitchfork

Attach	ment Use
Pitchfo	rk Type (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M):
Task D	escription: Removing straw mixed with dung and transferring them to a wheelbarrow.
Comfo	rt Evaluation for Pitchfork:
	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the pitchfork (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).
(Ra	ting Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 3 Pitchfork 3 2
2	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe. Pitchfork 1
	Pitchfork 2 left fore arm was sore
	Pitchfork 3 Nove
3	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design, curvature) Pitchfork 1 the curvature was ideal Pitchfork 2 Straight design Pitchfork 3 the curvature was ideal + it was light weigh
4	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
	Pitchfork 1 NOVL
	Pitchfork 2 Make H mare curved
	Pitchfork 3 NOW





Worksheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Pitchfork without Auxiliary Attachment Use

Control Evaluation:

1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
(R	ating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 3 Pitchfork 3).
2	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Pitchfork 1 I had control the entire time
	Pitchfork 2 1+ Was harder to get a good 8000p
	Pitchfork 3 had control the entire time
3	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Pitchfork 1 NON &
	Pitchfork 2 Straight design
	Pitchfork 3 NONL
4	Recommend enhancements for better control.
T .	
	Pitchfork 2 Make it more curved
	Pitchfork 3 NOV
	Themore's
~	
Cardi	ovascular Effort Evaluation without Auxiliary Attachment:
5.	Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
	Using the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion for pitchforks.
	(Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3 Ditchfork





Worksheet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Pitchfork with auxiliary attachment Use

Comfort Evaluation for Pitchfork with auxiliary attachment:

1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the pitchfork (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).
1.	(Rating Pitchfork 1 4 Pitchfork 2 2 Pitchfork 3 3).
3	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe. Pitchfork 1 it was hard to twist + dump the load Pitchfork 2 left shoulder (still hard to dump) Pitchfork 3 left shoulder
4	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design, curvature) Pitchfork 1 + all handle Pitchfork 2 handle doesn't allow for natural hand placement Pitchfork 3 long handle
5	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort. Pitchfork 1 Maybe Shover handle Pitchfork 2 different handle angle? Pitchfork 3 Shover handle





Worksheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Pitchfork with auxiliary attachment Use

Control Evaluation:

1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
2	(Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3).
3	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load. Pitchfork 1 10St control with clumping
	Pitchfork 2 Still lost some control when dumping Pitchfork 3 I had control
4	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control. Pitchfork 1 101 wand 6
	Pitchfork 2 handle angle Pitchfork 3 none
5	Recommend enhancements for better control. Pitchfork 1 Shorter handle Pitchfork 2 different angle (+1- moveable)
Cardio	Pitchfork 3 NOVU ovascular Effort Evaluation with Auxiliary Attachment:
	Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone. Using the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion for pitchforks. (Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3).
	Tromork 1 I tomork 2 I tomork 3).