

C



### Perceived Exertion (RPE), Comfort, and Control Assessment

As you become more familiar with your body's reactions during exercise, you'll learn to recognize when it's time to change how hard you work. For instance, consider a walker aiming for moderate-intensity exercise. Their target would be to hit a "somewhat hard" level, corresponding to 12-14 on the Borg Scale. Should they find their effort registering as "very light" (a 9 on the Borg Scale), this is an indicator to ramp up your pace. Conversely, if the walker experiences his or her effort as "extremely hard" (ranking at 19 on the Borg Scale), it's a sign to reduce the intensity, slowing down to stay within the moderate-intensity zone.

#### **Rating of Perceived Exertion**

- 6 No exertion, sitting and resting
- 7 Very, very light
- 8 Very, very light
- 9 Very light
- 10 Very light
- 11 Fairly light
- 12 Somewhat hard
- 13 Somewhat hard
- 14 Somewhat hard
- 15 Hard
- 16 Very hard
- 17 Very hard
- 18 Very, very hard
- 19 Extremely hard
- 20 Maximum exertion (Borg, 1998)

#### 1. Scoop Shovel

Worksheet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Shovel without Auxiliary Attachment Use

Comfort Evaluation for Scoop Shovel:		
Task Description: Scoop up wood pellets and transfer them to a wheelbarrow.		
Shovel Types (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N):		
Participant ID:		
Participant Information: Makenna Parks		

1. On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the shovel (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).

J	2	Δ		
(Rating Shovel 1	2	Shovel 2	Shovel 3	).
X-10 X-20 X-20 X-20 X-20 X-20 X-20 X-20 X-2			+	
			Envovit	el





2.	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe.
	Shovel 1 Right arm some almost end.
	Shovel 2 None
	Shovel 3 Back (lower) towards end
3.	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design,
	curvature)
	Shovel 1 Length of handle (longer than I'm used to)
	Shovel 2 MA Shovel 3 MA
	Shovel 3 N
4	Constant in a second for the should confort
4.	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.  Shovel 1 Slightly shorter handle
	Shovel 2 NA Shovel 3 NA
	Shover 3 19 18
Works Use	sheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel without Auxiliary Attachment
Contr	ol Evaluation:
1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
(R	ating Shovel 1 Shovel 2 Shovel 3 Shovel 3 ).
2	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Shovel 1 Really good
	Shovel 2 Listle woldly
	Shovel 3 Really good
3	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Shovel 1 Longer handle maybe (I didn't have any isse)
	Shovel 2 Mar Large Shovel head
	Shovel 3 N/A
1	Recommend enhancements for better control.





Shovel 1 None rea	Ily
Shovel 2 More a	regil to Shovel head
Shovel 3 Nove	
Cardiovascular Effort Evaluat	on without Auxiliary Attachment:
5. Estimate your heart rate du	ring the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
Using the Borg RPE scale	(6-20), rate your perceived exertion.
(Rating Rating Shovel 1	Shovel 2 $3$ Shovel 3 $3$





# Comfort Evaluation for Scoop Shovel with auxiliary attachment:

1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the shovel (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).		
	(Rating Shovel 13Shovel 2Shovel 3).		
	(Rating Shovel 1 3 Shovel 2 3 Shovel 3 2 ).		
0			
2	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe.		
	Shovel 1 Right arm halfway through		
	Shovel 2 Right monider		
	Shovel 1 Right arm halfway through Shovel 2 Right shoulder Shovel 3 Right shoulder		
3	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design,		
	curvature)		
	Shovel 1 Angle (?) of auxillery arm (arm helped back, some arm Shovel 2 N/A		
	Shovel 2 NA		
	Shovel 3 Auxillery arm alleriated		
4	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.		
	Shovel 1 Lower angle of auxiliary arm		
	Shovel 2 NA		
	Shovel 3 N A		
Works	heet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel with auxiliary attachment Use		
Contro	l Evaluation:		
5	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).		
5	(Rating Shovel 1 3 Shovel 2 5 Shovel 3 2 ).		
6	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.		
	Shovel 1 Decent; auxillery arm took some getting using to it		

	Shovel 2 Cross
	Shovel 3 Grood
7	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Shovel 1 Nove
	Shovel 2 News
	Shovel 3 Novel
8	Recommend enhancements for better control.
	Shovel 1 Non
	Shovel 2 Longer & shore handle
	Shovel 3 Neve
Cardio	ovascular Effort Evaluation with auxiliary attachment:
5. E	Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
Į	Jsing the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion.
(	Rating Rating Shovel 1Shovel 2Shovel 3).





## 2. Pitchfork

Worksheet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Pitchfork without Auxiliary Attachment Use
Pitchfork Type (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M):
Task Description: Removing straw mixed with dung and transferring them to a wheelbarrow.
Comfort Evaluation for Pitchfork:
On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the pitchfork (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).
(Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3).
Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe. Pitchfork 1 Nove
Pitchfork 2 Researchable Baret
Pitchfork 3 El Bow, Shoulder, Rwist
3 Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design, curvature)
Pitchfork 2 Flings, and herrical short hendle
Pitchfork 1 Length of handle definitely helped Pitchfork 2 Flimsy material, short handle Pitchfork 3 Short handle, no angle to lover portion, theory material
4 Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
Pitchfork 1 Nove
Pitchfork 2 Higher quality meterial
Pitchfork 2 Higher quality meterial Pitchfork 3 Angle to prongs, longer handle

1) sted 12 Plastil blout 3 metal.





Worksheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Pitchfork without Auxiliary Attachment Use

## **Control Evaluation:**

1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
(R	Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3 1.
2	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Pitchfork 1 Grood
	Pitchfork 2 md Dello Dall Average, very all over the place
	Pitchfork 3 Decent, little material was moved sometimes
3	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Pitchfork 1 Nove
	Pitchfork 2 Flimsy material snort handle
	Pitchfork 3 Large distance between times [prong)
4	Recommend enhancements for better control.
<del>11</del> .	
	Pitchfork 1 Nove
	Pitchfork 2 Higher quality material longer handle
	Pitchfork 3 Smaller distance between prongs
Cardi	ovascular Effort Evaluation without Auxiliary Attachment:
5.	Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
	Using the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion for pitchforks.
	(Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3 1).





Worksheet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Pitchfork with auxiliary attachment Use

# Comfort Evaluation for Pitchfork with auxiliary attachment:

1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the pitchfork (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).
1.	(Rating Pitchfork 1 3 Pitchfork 2 1 Pitchfork 3 3 ).
3	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe.  Pitchfork 1_Back, Shoulders  78
	Pitchfork 2 None  Pitchfork 3 Shoulder, born arms, back
4	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design, curvature)  Pitchfork 1 Length of handle + auxillery arm together  Pitchfork 2 Auxillery arm heiped a tone  Pitchfork 3 Auxillery arm heiped a tone  Pitchfork 3 Auxillery arm heiped a tone  Pitchfork 3 Auxillery arm heiped a tone
5	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.  Pitchfork 1 Shorter handle w/ auxillery ann  Pitchfork 2 None, my favorite so far!  Pitchfork 3 Angle head more 4 ann will help more!





Worksheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Pitchfork with auxiliary attachment Use

Transition 1	O 22	manager 1 and	200
Com	trol	FXIO	luation.
	8 6.6 9 8	F V 28	

1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
2	(Rating Pitchfork 1 3 Pitchfork 2 2 Pitchfork 3 3).
3	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Pitchfork 1 A little worksy
	Pitchfork 2 Grood, never to flip fork over
	Pitchfork 3 Couldn't gauge angle to floor well
4	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Pitchfork 1 Position of arm compared to how I hold it neutrinall
	Pitchfork 2 Stable auxillery arm (no flexibility)
	Pitchfork 3 Angle of pitchfork head
5	Recommend enhancements for better control.
3	Pitchfork 1 Nowe
	Pitchfork 2 Nove
	Pitchfork 3 Change pitchfork anglehend
<i>c</i>	
Cardio	ovascular Effort Evaluation with Auxiliary Attachment:
6.	Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
1	Using the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion for pitchforks.
	(Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 9 Pitchfork 3 14).