





Perceived Exertion (RPE), Comfort, and Control Assessment

As you become more familiar with your body's reactions during exercise, you'll learn to recognize when it's time to change how hard you work. For instance, consider a walker aiming for moderate-intensity exercise. Their target would be to hit a "somewhat hard" level, corresponding to 12-14 on the Borg Scale. Should they find their effort registering as "very light" (a 9 on the Borg Scale), this is an indicator to ramp up your pace. Conversely, if the walker experiences his or her effort as "extremely hard" (ranking at 19 on the Borg Scale), it's a sign to reduce the intensity, slowing down to stay within the moderate-intensity zone.

Rating of Perceived Exertion

- 6 No exertion, sitting and resting
- 7 Very, very light
- 8 Very, very light
- 9 Very light
- 10 Very light
- 11 Fairly light
- 12 Somewhat hard
- 13 Somewhat hard
- 14 Somewhat hard
- 15 Hard
- 16 Very hard
- 17 Very hard
- 18 Very, very hard
- 19 Extremely hard
- 20 Maximum exertion (Borg, 1998)

1. Scoop Shovel

Worksheet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Shovel without Auxiliary Attachment Use

Participant Information: Emily Popa
Participant ID:
Shovel Types (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N):
Task Description: Scoop up wood pellets and transfer them to a wheelbarrow.

Comfort Evaluation for Scoop Shovel:

1.	On a scale from	1 to 5, rate	the overal	l comfoi	rt of using the	shovel (1	being ex	tremely
	comfortable, 2	comfortab	le, 3 mode	erately o	comfortable,	4 uncom	fortable,	5 being
	extremely uncon	nfortable).				2 1		
	(Dating Chayal 1	7	Showel 2	4	Shovel 3	4	Y	





2.	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe.
	Shovel 1 lower back
	Shovel 2 Dack
	Shovel 3 mid back
	Shovers I will were the
3.	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design,
	curvature)
	Shovel 1 weight distribution helped Shovel 2 Sront heavy, weird shap & Sor scoop
	Shovel 2 Sront reary, weird shap & sor scoop
	Shovel 3 very Scent heavy
	1
4.	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
	Shovel 1 NON
	Shovel 2 different shape for scooppart
13/1	Shovel 2 different shape for scooppart Shovel 3 - aller, not as Sront heavy
Works Use	sheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel without Auxiliary Attachment
Contro	ol Evaluation:
1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being
	extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not
	in control at all).
(R	ating Shovel 1 Shovel 2 Shovel 3).
2	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Shovel 1 good clasy to rotate and mores
	Shovel 2 a little nard 10 pck up
	Shovel 3 had to use whale body to move at times
3	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Shovel 1 a little Scont hogy but not bad
	Shovel 2 pail Shapes (692 shape
	Shovel 3 Front heavy, too short
4	Recommend enhancements for better control.





Shovel 1 more weight above (wooldn thursto bendover)
Shovel 2 flatter of scoopers Ighter
Shovel 3 longer, not as front heavy

Cardiovascular Effort Evaluation without Auxiliary Attachment:

5. Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.

Using the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion.

(Rating Rating Shovel 1 130 Shovel 2 170 Shovel 3 140)

Savorite =#1







Comfo	rt Evaluation for Scoop Shovel with auxiliary attachment:
1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the shovel (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable). (Rating Shovel 1 Shovel 2 Shovel 3 2).
2	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe. Shovel 1 back Soveann Shovel 2 back Shovel 3 back
3	Shovel 2 length Shovel 3 length made back hurt, weight was much
4	Shovel 2 liftle longer on not 95 front heavy Shovel 3 a liftle longer
Works	heet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Shovel with auxiliary attachment Use
Contro	l Evaluation:
5	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the shovel (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all). (Rating Shovel 1 Shovel 2 Shovel 3).
6	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load. Shovel 1 \(\frac{1}{2} \) \(\frac{1}{1} \) \(\frac{1} \) \(\frac{1}{1} \) \(\frac{1} \) \(\frac{1}{1} \) \(\frac{1} \) \(\frac{1} \) \(\frac{1} \) \(\fra





	Susanabae Agricui Research & Educa
	Shovel 2 good, handle he loved with weight
	Shovel 3 good, handle helped with wight
7	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Shovel 1 affectment
	Shovel 2 it was fine
	Shovel 3 length (tooshort)
8	Recommend enhancements for better control.
	Shovel 1 different attach many
	Shovel 2 not as front wary
	Shovel 3 best one, handle helped whight
Cardio	vascular Effort Evaluation with auxiliary attachment:
5. E	Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
Ţ	Using the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion.
(Rating Rating Shovel 1 Shovel 2 Shovel 3 2

Savorite= #3





2. Pitchfork

Worksheet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Pitchfork without Auxiliary Attachment Use
Pitchfork Type (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M):
Task Description: Removing straw mixed with dung and transferring them to a wheelbarrow.
Comfort Evaluation for Pitchfork:
On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the pitchfork (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).
(Rating Pitchfork 1 2 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3 5).
Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body) Please describe. Pitchfork 1
Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design, curvature) Pitchfork 1 heaviness in Sront Pitchfork 2 more movement needed because so slimbsy Pitchfork 3 heavy, short, look a lot more labor due to bristles being too sar apart
4 Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort. Pitchfork 1 re distribute weight Pitchfork 2 heaviers Pitchfork 3 lighter, closer bristles, taller
BANGARA OF HEBD





Worksheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Pitchfork without Auxiliary Attachment Use

Control Evaluation:

1	in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
(Ra	ating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3 Pitchfork 3.
2	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 1
	Pitchfork 2 very light, harder to scoop
	Pitchfork 3 all fell through a lot
3	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Pitchfork 1 Mel ding to rotate more with weight Pitchfork 2 too light Pitchfork 3 tond spread & weight
	Pitchfork 2 too light
	Pitchfork 3 Hand spread & weight
4	Recommend enhancements for better control.
	Pitchfork 1 rage to flegate attachement lighter, shorter
	Pitchfork 2 heavier
	Pitchfork 3 longer, lighter
Cardio	vascular Effort Evaluation without Auxiliary Attachment:
	Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
	Using the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion for pitchforks.
0	
	(Rating Pitchfork 1 100 Pitchfork 2 120 Pitchfork 3 150).
	Davorite = #2





Worksheet 1: Comfort and Cardiovascular Effort Assessment for Pitchfork with auxiliary attachment Use

Comfort Evaluation for Pitchfork with auxiliary attachment:

	1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall comfort of using the pitchfork (1 being extremely comfortable, 2 comfortable, 3 moderately comfortable, 4 uncomfortable, 5 being extremely uncomfortable).
	1.	(Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3).
		(Rating Pitchfork 1 3 Pitchfork 2 2 Pitchfork 3 3).
	3	Describe any specific discomfort points while using shovels. (e.g. hands, wrists, arms, or body)
		Please describe. Pitchfork 1 Soregrons (both), back
27/	82	Pitchfork 2 none - but moved very slowly due to tool
		Pitchfork 3 Jower back
	4	Identify features of the shovel that contributed to or alleviated discomfort. (e.g., grip design,
		curvature)
		Pitchfork 1 twisting motion
		Pitchfork 1 twisting motion. Pitchfork 2 not able to twist or scrap effectivly
		Pitchfork 3 grip helped, more even wiggit
	5	Suggest improvements for the shovel's comfort.
		Pitchfork 1_more Slexible attachement
		Pitchfork 2 me heavier, Slexability for affachment
		Pitchfork 3 little lighter





Worksheet 2: Control and Perceived Exertion Assessment for Pitchfork with auxiliary attachment Use

Control	177	I 4.º
CONTROL	H.VS	mamon

1	On a scale from 1 to 5, rate your perceived level of control while using the (1 being extremely in control, 2 in control, 3 moderately in control, 4 slightly in control, 5 Not in control at all).
2	(Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3 2).
3	Discuss the shovel's ability to maintain control over the load.
	Pitchfork 1 all Sell through
	Pitchfork 2 hard to scrap
	Pitchfork 3 hard to get poop, easier to dump
4	Identify any design aspects of the shovel that hindered control.
	Pitchfork 1 weight
	Pitchfork 2 position of attachment
	Pitchfork 3 Por partition bars too spaced out
5	Recommend enhancements for better control.
	Pitchfork 1 lighter
	Pitchfork 1 lighter Pitchfork 2 moveable (in motion of attachment
	Pitchfork 3 light or
Cardio	ovascular Effort Evaluation with Auxiliary Attachment:
6.	Estimate your heart rate during the task and compare it to your target heart rate zone.
1	Using the Borg RPE scale (6-20), rate your perceived exertion for pitchforks.
	(Rating Pitchfork 1 Pitchfork 2 Pitchfork 3 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	Savorite = #3